

Symptomless Infection and Incidence of Maize White Line Mosaic

RAYMOND LOUIE, Research Plant Pathologist, ARS, USDA, D. T. GORDON, Professor, and L. V. MADDEN, Systems Specialist, Department of Plant Pathology, and J. K. KNOKE, Research Entomologist, ARS, USDA, Department of Entomology, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center and The Ohio State University, Wooster 44691

ABSTRACT

Louie, R., Gordon, D. T., Madden, L. V., and Knoke, J. K. 1983. Symptomless infection and incidence of maize white line mosaic. *Plant Disease* 67:371-373.

Symptomless infections in sweet corn (*Zea mays*) from three field plantings with a history of maize white line mosaic (MWLM) were detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) for the virus. Symptomless infections were detected similarly in two of three fields where MWLM was not previously found. The numbers of plants with symptomless infections in a sample of 15 consecutive plants within a row were 13/15, 11/15, and 7/15, and 4/15, 1/15, and 0/15 for three fields with and without a history of MWLM, respectively. Two plants with characteristic symptoms of MWLM were included in samples from each field with a known history of MWLM and were positive for maize white line mosaic virus (MWLMV) infection in ELISA. In experimental plantings, symptomless infections were detected by ELISA in root samples as early as 10 days after planting (DAP) and 100% were assayed positive by 55 DAP in two of four plantings. Symptomless shoot infections (~13%), based on ELISA of leaf samples, were detected by 40 DAP in the same two plantings. Disease incidences based on symptoms were low (<10%) for all planting dates except the third planting, where it reached ~20% level. Infections appeared to be more dependent on the time of season at which the plants were growing than on plant age. Detection of symptomless infection in plants and observations of plants with characteristic symptoms indicate that symptom development depends on some factor in addition to MWLMV infection.

The distribution of maize white line mosaic virus (MWLMV), which is presumably soilborne (2,5), includes Michigan (D. T. Gordon and B. P. Singh, unpublished), New York (1), Ohio (5), Vermont (4), and Wisconsin (2). Symptoms of maize white line mosaic (MWLM), often confirmed by serological assays, were the basis for disease identifications. Published accounts of symptomless infection in corn (*Zea mays* L.) have thus far only been reported from Ohio (5).

Symptomless infections can significantly alter our perception of disease incidence. Determinations of the extent of symptomless infection in corn grown in areas with or without a history of MWLM and any associations between

Cooperative investigation of ARS/USDA and the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center and The Ohio State University, Wooster.

Mention of a trademark, proprietary product, or vendor does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the USDA and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products or vendors that may also be suitable.

Approved for publication as Journal Article 66-82 of the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center and the Ohio State University.

Accepted for publication 26 August 1982.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

This article is in the public domain and not copyrightable. It may be freely reprinted with customary crediting of the source. The American Phytopathological Society, 1983.

symptom expression, time of infection, and date of planting are therefore important considerations for disease-incidence assessments. In this paper, we report our findings related to those studies. A short report has been published previously (6).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Virus detection. Characteristic mosaic and white line symptoms on leaves (1) were used to determine disease incidence in field plants. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) for MWLMV were used on leaf and root tissue samples as described previously (5).

Tissue sampling from field and experimental plantings. On 10 and 17 August, 15 consecutive plants within a row of sweet corn hybrid (Candy Corn, Golden Sweet, Guardian, or Spring Gold) in the milk stage were dug from each of three fields with a known history of MWLM (Lorain County, two locations; Wayne County, one location). Two plants with obvious MWLM symptoms were included in each 15-plant sample. An additional 15 consecutive plants without MWLM symptoms were dug from fields (within 1 km of the above fields) that did not have a history of MWLM.

For experimental planting, seeds of Seneca Chief sweet corn were planted in Wayne County at 20-cm intervals (3 seeds per hill) in plots 5 m long with four replicates on 21 and 31 May and 11 and 21 June. Distance between plot rows was 76 cm. Disease incidence in plots was

determined on 1, 16, and 29 July and on 6 and 19 August. On 14, 21, and 28 July and on 4 and 18 August, the first two plants in each plot were dug. All plants (both field and experimental plantings) were returned to the laboratory, where roots were washed free of soil and a top leaf and root sample taken for ELISA.

Data analysis. The percentage of plants infected based on symptoms or on ELISA of roots or shoots (leaves) was analyzed as a repeated-measures experimental design with four replicates. This design is analogous to a split-plot design. Planting date was the main effect (whole plot), and survey time was the repeated measure (split plot). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the effects of planting date and survey time and their interactions on MWLM incidence. Duncan's modified least significant difference test (7) was used to separate means when ANOVA indicated that a factor or its interaction was significant.

The association among MWLM incidences based on field symptoms and root or shoot infections based on ELISA was tested at each planting and survey date, using a Kendall-Tau correlation coefficient (3).

RESULTS

MWLMV infections in field plantings. Detection of MWLMV by ELISA in both roots and shoots (leaves) was independent of MWLM symptoms (Table 1). MWLMV was detected in roots more often than in shoots. MWLMV was detected in roots or shoots or in both roots and shoots. Symptomless infections were also detected in roots of 4/15 and 1/15 plants (number infected over total tested) collected from two of three fields without a history of MWLM. Both fields were located in Lorain County.

MWLMV infection in experimental planting. ANOVA indicated a significant ($P = 0.05$) effect of planting date and survey time as well as the survey \times planting date interaction for disease incidence based on symptoms, ELISA of roots, and ELISA of shoots. Incidence based on symptoms generally increased over time for each planting date (Table 2). The significant interaction indicated that the increase was not equal for each planting date. The highest level of MWLM in the field was observed during the last two surveys of the third planting.

