

Host Range Studies of *Meloidogyne hapla* in Taiwan

J. S. RUELO, Postdoctoral Research Fellow, Plant Pathology, Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center, Shanhua, Tainan 741, Taiwan, Republic of China

ABSTRACT

Ruelo, J. S. 1981. Host range studies of *Meloidogyne hapla* in Taiwan. Plant Disease 65:500-501.

Vegetables, grasses, and ornamental plants belonging to 17 families, 51 genera, 83 species, 40 cultivars, and 14 breeding lines were tested as hosts of *Meloidogyne hapla*. Fifty-four species and 39 cultivars or breeding lines from 15 families were susceptible; 15 species were resistant, and 29 were immune. Twenty-four plant species were previously unrecorded hosts of *M. hapla*.

Widespread occurrence of the northern root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne hapla* Chitwood) among plant species is well documented (2,3,9,11). Goodey's 1965 catalog (4), which contains host records to 1963, lists 577 flowering plant species affected by this nematode.

Losses to *M. hapla* have been estimated, by crop and percentage, as: alfalfa, 36; peanut, 70; carrot, 50; potato, 46; onion, 64 (1); cabbage, 9; cauliflower, 24 (7); beets, 22; lettuce, 81; and spinach, 13 (8).

Taiwan practices multiple cropping and this is being introduced for evaluation in other countries (12). Success of any cropping scheme depends on basic knowledge of life histories and host ranges of soil pathogens (6). A program in Taiwan uses upland areas for crop production to increase arable acreage. Because *M. hapla* exists in tropical and subtropical regions, chiefly at higher altitudes (1), and because it has a wide host range, it could become an economically important pest.

This report covers studies to investigate

the host range of this pest at the Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center, Taiwan, between September 1978 and November 1979.

Table 1. New host records of *Meloidogyne hapla*

Host	Horticultural designation	Susceptibility rating ^a	Root count ^b		
			Nema-tode	Gall	Egg mass
Amaranthaceae					
<i>Amaranthus mangustanus</i> (edible amaranth)	X ^c	2.5	22.0	17.0	14.0
Compositae					
<i>Chrysanthemum coronarium</i> (garland chrysanthemum)	X	5.0	980.0	800.0	339.0
Convolvulaceae					
<i>Ipomoea aquatica</i> (water convolvulus)	X	5.0	150.3	139.0	139.0
Cucurbitaceae					
<i>Cucumis melo</i> var. <i>conomon</i> (oriental pickling melon)	X	4.0	26.0	24.7	20.0
<i>Cucurbita ficifolia</i> (Malabar gourd)	Cucumber stock	5.0	247.3	240.0	17.7
<i>Luffa cylindrica</i> (vegetable sponge)	X	5.0	73.0	57.0	53.0
<i>Momordica charantia</i> (balsam pear)	Known You No. 1	3.0	24.7	22.6	16.7
<i>Trichosanthes anguina</i> (snake gourd)	X	3.5	45.2	41.0	36.0
Euphorbiaceae					
<i>Manihot esculenta</i> (cassava)	X	4.0	52.0	36.0	30.0

(continued on next page)

Author's current address: Associate Professor, Biology Department, Fu Jen University, Hsin Chuang, Taipei 242, Taiwan, Republic of China.

0191-2917/81/06050002/\$03.00/0

©1981 American Phytopathological Society

Table 1. (continued from preceding page)

Host	Horticultural designation	Susceptibility rating ^a	Root count ^b		
			Nematode	Gall	Egg mass
Gramineae					
<i>Zizania latifolia</i> (co ba)	X	2.0	6.0	4.5	2.0
Leguminosae					
<i>Dolichos lablab</i> (hyacinth bean)	Four Seasons	3.0	20.0	18.7	16.0
<i>Glycine clandestina</i> (soybean)	AVRDC G5163	3.0	34.0	21.4	14.6
<i>G. tabacina</i> (wild soybean)	AVRDC G5164	2.0	8.0	6.5	4.0
<i>G. tomentella</i> (wild soybean)	AVRDC G5166	2.0	7.8	6.5	3.5
<i>G. tomentosa</i> (Nagaba yabu mame Jpn. name)	AVRDC G5133	3.0	12.0	11.0	9.0
<i>Pachyrrhizus erosus</i> (yam bean)	X	4.0	41.0	30.7	9.0
<i>Psophocarpus tetragonolobus</i> (asparagus pea)	Indonesia 20	1.5	3.2	3.0	3.0
<i>Vigna aconitifolia</i> (moth bean)	TC 1986	3.0	21.0	20.3	11.0
<i>V. angularis</i> (adjuki bean)	X	3.0	47.0	35.7	32.3
<i>V. radiata</i> var. <i>sublobata</i> (mungbean ancestor)	TC 1965	2.0	6.8	4.8	2.6
<i>V. umbellata</i> (rice bean)	TC 1972	2.0	5.0	4.3	2.7
<i>V. unguiculata</i> ssp. <i>sesquipedalis</i> (yardlong bean)	Green Pod Kaohsiung	3.0	15.0	11.7	9.7
Tropaeolaceae					
<i>Nasturtium majus</i> (garden nasturtium)	X	2.0	8.3	7.0	5.0
Umbelliferae					
<i>Coriandrum sativum</i> (coriander)	X	5.0	1,290.0	1,274.0	600.0

^a0 = no galls or egg masses, 1 = 1 or 2, 2 = 3-10, 3 = 11-30, 4 = 31-100, 5 = more than 100 galls or egg masses.

^bNumbers are means of three replications with one or two plant subsamples.

^cUnknown variety.

Test plants, except white potato, were grown from seed and planted in pots (20 cm in diameter, 17 cm high) in sterilized silt loam, compost, sand, and rice hull (5:3:1:1). Plants were grown outside the greenhouse on raised bricks or wooden supports to prevent contamination from ground soil. Plants were watered twice daily and fertilized with N-P-K (40-80-40 kg/ha) once every 2 wk. Air temperatures ranged from 18.6 to 28.4 C.

The reaction of plants to nematodes was evaluated 2 mo after inoculation. Each plant was carefully uprooted; roots were washed free of soil and prepared for nematode, egg mass, and gall counting as described by Taylor (10). Susceptibility was rated on a 0-5 scale: 0 = no galls or egg masses, 1 = 1 or 2, 2 = 3-10, 3 = 11-30, 4 = 31-100, 5 = >100. Plants that were not infested after the first trial were retested. In the greenhouse where stock cultures of *M. hapla* were maintained, mean ambient temperature could reach 32 C during the summer.

Eighty-three species, 40 cultivars, and

14 breeding lines representing 51 genera and 17 families were tested. These were mostly vegetables, with some grasses and ornamentals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fifty-four plant species, 25 cultivars, and 14 breeding lines from 15 families were susceptible (ratings of 2-5), 15 species were resistant (rating of 1), and 29 were not infected. Only new records of resistance or susceptibility are given (Table 1). Almost all of the Gramineae, except *Zizania latifolia*, and almost all of the Amaryllidaceae, except onion, demonstrated resistance or were not hosts to *M. hapla*.

Most species in Cruciferae, Cucurbitaceae, Solanaceae, and Umbelliferae were susceptible to the nematode. Those that were not susceptible (Chinese cabbage cv. Green Petiole, cauliflower, muskmelon cv. Sunrise, watermelon cv. Sugar Baby, tobacco, and celery) have been reported as hosts elsewhere (4); I found that some species such as pumpkin,

cucumber and okra were susceptible although they had been reported as resistant (9). Contradictory findings were also seen with garden dahlia, French marigold (5), papaya, taro, and amaranth. Disparity in results in all cases could be a function of pathotype and varietal difference. All tomatoes tested were highly susceptible.

The susceptibility of species in the Leguminosae and Compositae varied greatly. By both visual ratings and microscopic study of roots, this variable susceptibility is at the species level and among varieties and breeding lines. Peanut, soybean, rice bean, mungbean, mothbean, and asparagus pea clearly demonstrate this phenomenon.

Twenty-four plants listed in Table 1 are considered new hosts for *M. hapla*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank the Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center (AVRDC) for financial support and facilities for work; G. C. Wang of National Taiwan University for supplying *M. hapla* inoculum; C. Yang for procuring seeds from Known You Nursery and Seed Production Co., Kaohsiung; L. M. Chen of the same company, Fengshan Tropical Horticultural Experiment Station; Y. S. Cheng of Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute for supplying seeds for the study; R. Opeña, R. Villareal, and A. Tschanz, of AVRDC, for critical review of the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Commonwealth Institute of Helminthology. 1975. Descriptions of plant parasitic nematodes. St. Albans, Herts., England.
2. Faulkner, L. R., and McElroy, F. D. 1964. Host range of northern root-knot nematode on irrigated crop plants and weeds in Washington. Plant Dis. Rep. 48:190-193.
3. Gaskin, T. A., and Crittenden, H. W. 1956. Studies of the host range of *Meloidogyne hapla*. Plant Dis. Rep. 40:264-270.
4. Goodey, J. B., Franklin, M. T. and Hooper, D. J. 1965. T. Goodey's The nematode parasites of plants catalogued under their hosts. Farnham Royal, Commonw. Agric. Bur. 214 pp.
5. Martin, G. C. 1969. Plants infected with root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) in Rhodesia, Malawi and Zambia. Rhodesia Agric. J. Tech. Bull. 8. 14 pp.
6. Nusbaum, C. J., and Ferris, H. 1973. The role of cropping systems in nematode population management. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 2:423-440.
7. Olthof, T. A., and Potter, J. W. 1972. Relationship between population densities of *Meloidogyne hapla* and crop losses in summer—maturing vegetables in Ontario. Phytopathology 62:981-986.
8. Potter, J. W., and Olthof, T. A. 1974. Yield losses in fall—Maturing vegetables relative to population densities of *Pratylenchus penetrans* and *Meloidogyne hapla*. Phytopathology 64:1072-1075.
9. Sasser, J. N. 1954. Identification and host-parasite relationships of certain root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.). Maryland Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. A-77.
10. Taylor, A. L. 1971. Introduction to research on plant nematology. FAO, UN, Rome. 113 pp.
11. Townshend, J. L., and Potter, J. W. 1976. Evaluation of forage legumes, grasses and cereals as hosts of forage nematodes. Nematologica 22:196-201.
12. Villareal, R. L. 1976. Observation on multiple cropping in Taiwan. Phil. J. Crop Sci. 1(3):129-136.