

Heat-Induced Susceptibility to Nonpathogens and Cross-Protection Against *Phytophthora megasperma* var. *sojae* in Soybean

D. W. Chamberlain

Research Plant Pathologist, Plant Science Research Division, ARS, USDA, Urbana, Illinois 61801.

Cooperative investigations of the Plant Science Research Division, ARS, USDA, and the Illinois Agriculture Experiment Station. Publication No. 698 of the U.S. Regional Soybean Laboratory, Urbana, Illinois 61801.

Accepted for publication 4 January 1972.

ABSTRACT

Of 17 species of fungi, all nonpathogens of soybean, four (*Ceratocystis fimbriata*, *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Gibberella zeae*, and *Helminthosporium turcicum*) killed heat-treated Harosoy soybean plants. These four also cross-protected Harosoy plants against *Phytophthora megasperma* var. *sojae*. Ten of the nonpathogens caused

no infection on heat-treated plants; six of the 10 gave good cross-protection against *P. megasperma* var. *sojae*. Apparently, resistance to nonpathogens in soybeans is not governed by a single mechanism.

Phytopathology 62:645-646.

Additional key words: phytoalexin, disease resistance.

Heat-induced susceptibility to nonpathogens of soybean has been demonstrated in soybean plants. Chamberlain & Gerdemann (1) showed that *Helminthosporium Sorokinianum* Sacc. ap. Sorok. (*H. sativum* Pam., King & Bakke) and *Phytophthora cactorum* (Leb. & Cohn) Schroet. killed heat-treated soybean plants, whereas three saprophytic fungi *Trichoderma viride* [Pers.] S. F. Gray, *Aspergillus niger* v. Tiegh., and *Chaetomium globosum* Kze. ex Fr. did not. These results led to speculation in regard to the susceptibility of heat-treated soybean plants to pathogens of other plant species and possible fundamental similarities between inter- and intraspecific resistance to pathogens.

Paxton & Chamberlain (5) have shown that *Phytophthora cactorum*, normally nonpathogenic on soybean, can protect the soybean plant against *P. megasperma* Drechs. var. *sojae* A. A. Hildeb. Since cross-protection involves the capacity to stimulate phytoalexin production, it was of interest to determine whether this capability is of general occurrence among pathogens acting upon a nonsusceptible host. The research described in this paper was twofold: (i) to further explore the heat-induced susceptibility of soybean to pathogens of other species; and (ii) to determine the ability of these fungi to protect the soybean plant against subsequent infection by *P. megasperma* var. *sojae*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS.—Soybean plants (*Glycine max* [L.] Merr.) 'Harosoy' were started in flats of builders' sand and transferred to beakers of water. They were treated and inoculated when they were 6 to 9 days old. Cultures of the various fungi were maintained on potato-dextrose agar or in a liquid medium made by autoclaving 5-10 soybean seeds in 100 ml distilled water for 30 min. Heat treatment consisted of immersing root and hypocotyl up to the cotyledonary node in a water bath at 50 C for 1 min or at 44 C for 60 min. Plants were inoculated by inserting bits of mycelium in a wound made in the hypocotyl with a half-spear needle. The wounds were covered with petrolatum to prevent drying. Each fungus was tested on untreated soybean

plants to determine that it was not a natural pathogen on soybean. Plants were judged to be infected when browning spread a minimum of 1 cm beyond the inoculation wound. In the cross-protection experiments, Harosoy plants were inoculated with the nonpathogen and inoculated 2 to 3 days later in the same wound with *Phytophthora megasperma* var. *sojae*. Control plants were wounded with a sterile needle and inoculated in the same wound 2 to 3 days later with *P. megasperma* var. *sojae*.

RESULTS.—The following nonpathogens of soybean were included in the heat-treatment tests: *Alternaria solani* (Ell. & G. Martin) Sor.; *Aspergillus niger* v. Tiegh.; *Botryosphaeria ribis* Gross. & Dug.; *Ceratocystis fagacearum* (Bretz) Hunt; *Ceratocystis fimbriata* Ell. & Halst.; *Ceratocystis ulmi* (Buisman) C. Moreau; *Cladosporium paeoniae* Pass.; *Diplodia zeae* (Schw.) Lev.; *Dothiorella ulmi* Verrall & May; *Endothia parasitica* (Murr.) P. J. & H. W. Anderson; *Fusarium moniliforme* Sheldon; *Gibberella zeae* (Schw.) Petch; *Gnomonia platani* Kleb.; *Gnomonia quercina* Kleb.; *Helminthosporium turcicum* Pass.; *Monilinia fructicola* (Wint.) Honey; and *Verticillium albo-atrum* Reinke & Berth. Of these 17 microorganisms, only four (*Ceratocystis fimbriata*, *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Gibberella zeae*, and *Helminthosporium turcicum*) showed appreciable pathogenicity on heat-treated plants (40-90% killed), and three showed slight pathogenicity (5-20% infected). None showed evidence of pathogenic activity on untreated plants.

In the cross-protection trials, observations were made 7 and 10 days after the challenge inoculation with *P. megasperma* var. *sojae*. Fourteen of the fungi gave a high degree of protection (50-100%) against killing by *P. megasperma* var. *sojae*, and 10 of these gave a similar degree of protection against infection by the challenge fungus. Table 1 shows the mean results of three tests for each fungus. The "percentage protected plants" was calculated by using the number of dead plants in the control instead of 100 to compensate for escapes from infection.

DISCUSSION.—Resistance to nonpathogens in

TABLE 1. The effect of prior inoculation of soybean plants with nonpathogens of soybean on subsequent susceptibility to *Phytophthora megasperma* var. *sojae*

Nonpathogen, 1st inoculation ^a	% of plants		
	Killed	Infected	% Protected ^c
<i>Alternaria solani</i>	25	45	74
Control (wounded only) ^b	95	95	
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	25	50	74
Control	95	95	
<i>Botryosphaeria ribis</i>	40	45	58
Control	95	100	
<i>Ceratocystis fagacearum</i>	30	50	57
Control	70	70	
<i>Ceratocystis fimbriata</i>	0	33	100
Control	73	87	
<i>Ceratocystis ulmi</i>	30	70	57
Control	70	70	
<i>Cladosporium paeoniae</i>	45	60	53
Control	95	100	
<i>Diplodia zeae</i>	40	55	43
Control	70	80	
<i>Dothiorella ulmi</i>	19	36	80
Control	93	97	
<i>Endothia parasitica</i>	15	20	83
Control	90	95	
<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	2	12	95
Control	55	88	
<i>Gibberella zeae</i>	10	17	87
Control	77	87	
<i>Gnomonia platani</i>	57	67	41
Control	97	97	
<i>Gnomonia quercina</i>	60	80	29
Control	85	95	
<i>Helminthosporium sorokinianum</i>	3	20	95
Control	67	77	
<i>Helminthosporium turcicum</i>	27	40	65
Control	77	87	
<i>Monilinia fructicola</i>	40	47	43
Control	70	80	
<i>Verticillium albo-atrum</i>	30	70	57
Control	70	70	

^a All inoculations challenged 2-3 days later with *P. megasperma* var. *sojae*.

^b All controls were wounded but not inoculated.

^c % Protected = % killed in control - % killed in "protected" plants ÷ % killed in control.

soybean is not governed by a single mechanism. *Ceratocystis fimbriata*, *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Gibberella zeae*, and *Helminthosporium turcicum* apparently act essentially like *Phytophthora cactorum*; heat treatment induces susceptibility, and previous inoculation gives local protection against infection by *P. megasperma* var. *sojae*. With *P. cactorum*, heat-induced susceptibility is accomplished by suppressing or reducing phytoalexin production (1, 3), and resistance is induced by stimulating the production of phytoalexin (4, 5). Fungus-host interaction for the other organisms suggests some other mode of action, since 10 of them caused no infection on heat-treated plants, but 6 of the 10 gave good cross-protection against *P. megasperma* var. *sojae*. A partial suppression of phytoalexin production or some other factor may be the answer. The work of Keen (3) and of Chamberlain & Paxton (2) and Paxton & Chamberlain (6) suggests the possibility of two kinds of phytoalexin produced by the *Phytophthora*-soybean interaction. Whether this is true for the interaction between nonpathogens and soybean remains to be determined.

LITERATURE CITED

1. CHAMBERLAIN D. W., & J. W. GERDEMANN. 1966. Heat-induced susceptibility of soybeans to *Phytophthora megasperma* var. *sojae*, *Phytophthora cactorum*, and *Helminthosporium sativum*. *Phytopathology* 56:70-73.
2. CHAMBERLAIN, D. W., & J. D. PAXTON. 1968. Protection of soybean plants by phytoalexin. *Phytopathology* 58:1349-1350.
3. KEEN, N. T. 1971. Hydroxyphaseolin production by soybeans resistant and susceptible to *Phytophthora megasperma* var. *sojae*. *Physiol. Plant Pathol.* 1:265-275.
4. KLARMAN, W. L., & J. W. GERDEMANN. 1963. Resistance of soybeans to three *Phytophthora* species due to the production of a phytoalexin. *Phytopathology* 53:1317-1320.
5. PAXTON, J. D., & D. W. CHAMBERLAIN. 1967. Acquired local resistance of soybean plants to *Phytophthora* sp. *Phytopathology* 57:352-353.
6. PAXTON, J. D., & D. W. CHAMBERLAIN. 1969. Phytoalexin production and disease resistance in soybeans as affected by age. *Phytopathology* 59:775-777.