NC APS Poster Competition Score Sheet

Presentations are judged on how well the research is described and the results presented, quality of research, interpretation of results, visual appeal of the poster, and interaction with audience. *Judge Instructions: Circle your rating (5 = excellent; 4 = very good; 3 = good; 2 = poor; and 1 = unsatisfactory).*

senter Name: F			Poster #:			
0 points)						
d background clearly described:	1	2	3	4		
isely explains methods and materials:	1	2	3	4		
concisely presented:	1	2	3	4		
lustrate data and are labeled:	1	2	3	4		
:	1	2	3	4		
(speaking and acting in a manner appropriate for) when interacting with audience:	1	2	3	4		
Research (0-25 points)						
sized rationale:	1	2	3	4		
ly described:	1	2	3	4		
ed to meet objectives:	1	2	3	4		
ed a new idea or approach:	1	2	3	4		
bjective to research design and approach clearly en interacting with audience:	1	2	3	4		
ılts (0-25 points)						
erimental design:	1	2	3	4		
accurate data analysis:	1	2	3	4		
cance of results pointed out:	1	2	3	4		
significance of findings clearly communicated:	1	2	3	4		
all findings clearly communicated in discussion with	1	2	3	4		
s)						
e audience, large enough font:	1	2	3	4		
ne sequence of the content:	1	2	3	4		
ials emphasized:	1	2	3	4		
complex tables and graphics:	1	2	3	4		
e-space" provided between components:	1	2	3	4		
com	plex tables and graphics:	plex tables and graphics: 1	plex tables and graphics: 1 2	plex tables and graphics: 1 2 3		

Ranking of Presentation ______ (to be completed by award chairperson)