Improved Peach Tree Longevity with Use of Fenamiphos in Peach Tree Short-Life Locations

DAVID F. RITCHIE, Associate Professor, Department of Plant Pathology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh 27695-7616

ABSTRACT

Ritchie, D. F. 1989. Improved peach tree longevity with use of fenamiphos in peach tree short-life locations. Plant Disease 73:160-163.

Most rates and application schedules of fenamiphos improved tree longevity (P= 0.05) compared with no postplant treatment. In two experiments, only one of 28 nontreated trees was productive by the ninth year, while several of the fenamiphos treatments resulted in 100% productive trees. In a third experiment, the percent of productive trees depended upon the preplant treatment and the length of the interval before postplant fenamiphos treatments were initiated. Discontinuation of postplant fenamiphos treatments after 2 or 3 consecutive years caused Criconemella~xenoplax numbers to increase significantly and generally resulted in fewer productive trees 4 yr later. The 6.72 kg/ha/application treatment applied as two fall plus one spring application provided the longest beneficial effect resulting in 88–100% productive trees by the beginning of the ninth year.

The peach tree short-life complex (PTSL) can cause death of peach trees (*Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch), often just as they begin their most productive years (1-3,8,9). The potential for extensive,

Paper No. 11652 of the Journal Series of the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service, Raleigh 27695-7643.

The use of trade names in this publication does not imply endorsement by the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service of the products mentioned nor criticism of similar ones not mentioned.

Accepted for publication 13 September 1988 (submitted for electronic processing).

© 1989 The American Phytopathological Society

sudden tree death is considered to be greatest when large numbers of the ring nematode, Criconemella xenoplax (Raski) Luc & Raski, are present (3,4,6,12). Fumigating the soil before planting followed by postplant treatments with dibromochloropropane (DBCP) every 2-3 yr partially alleviated this problem (1,10). In 1979, all uses of DBCP in peach orchards were cancelled. For the next few years, no nematicides were registered for use on bearing peach trees. In locations where trees are susceptible to PTSL, most nonfumigants were shown to not provide improved tree survival or adequate nematode control (7,11). Multiple fall applications of a relatively high rate of fenamiphos reduced C.

xenoplax numbers and improved tree survival during a 3-yr period (7).

The objective of the following research was to more extensively evaluate different rates and application schedules of fenamiphos over a period of consecutive years for effectiveness in extending productiveness of trees in PTSL locations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location history and preparation. Experiments were conducted at three locations at the Sandhills Research Station near Jackson Springs, NC. Peaches had been grown in all three locations previously. In location D4W. trees had died of PTSL and were removed in the summer of 1980. Location E2B had been planted in peaches three times from 1958 to 1973 and was in sod (primarily Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers. [common bermudagrass]) until the summer of 1979. F1A was planted in peaches in 1967 and trees were removed in the fall of 1978. The soil type at all three locations was a Candor sand with organic matter ranging from 1.2 to 1.6%. Soil pH at all locations in the fall of 1981 ranged from 5.6 to 5.9. At least 1 yr before planting trees, old roots were removed, lime was applied to achieve a pH of 6.0-6.5, and the soil was

160

deep plowed (30-45 cm) and subsoiled to a depth of 60-75 cm in each location. In October, before the planting of trees, locations E2B and F1A were broadcastfumigated with 467 L of 1,3-dichloropropane-1,2-dichloropropene (D-D) per hectare. Location D4W was divided into thirds approximately 0.25 ha each. Onethird received no preplant treatment. One-third received 6.72 kg of fenamiphos (Nemacur 3) per hectare broadcast (applied in 374 L water/ha) and incorporated to 15-cm depth 2 wk before planting trees, followed by a second application of the same rate applied to a 1.5-m strip on both sides of the tree row 1 mo after planting trees. The final third was broadcast-fumigated with 374 L/ha of 1,3-dichloropropene (Telone II) in November 1982.

Trees were planted in March 1980 in locations E2B and F1A and in March 1983 in location D4W. All trees were propagated on Lovell seedling rootstock. The scions used were cultivars Winblo, Lovell, and Emery in locations E2B, F1A, and D4W, respectively. Standard cultural and pest management practices were used, except in F1A. Trees in F1A were used for production of peach seed and thus were only moderately pruned with no fruit thinning done.

Plot design. Trees were planted on a spacing of 3.65×6.10 m. F1A consisted of five six-tree replications arranged in a completely randomized design. All data were taken on the four center trees of each replication. Treatments in E2B were arranged in a randomized complete block design. There were four four-tree replications with all data recorded on the two center trees. D4W was a systematically arranged split-plot in that the main effects (preplant soil treatments) were not replicated. The secondary treatments consisted of postplant treatments arranged in a randomized complete block design. Secondary treatment units were three five-tree replications, with all data recorded on the three center trees.

Postplant treatments. Fenamiphos was diluted in water and applied in 374 L/ha (40 gal/acre). Postplant applications were initiated in the fall of 1981 in F1A (end of second growing season), the fall of 1982 in E2B (end of third growing season), and in D4W at intervals of 6-24 mo after planting. A tractor-driven herbicide applicator with a single, flatfan nozzle was used to apply the treatment to the soil surface in a 1.5-m band from the tree trunk on each side of the tree row. Application pressure was 193-220 kPa (28-32 psi). Fenamiphos was incorporated by shallow (5-7 cm) disking, including the nontreated controls, immediately after application.

Nematode assays. Soil samples for nematode assays were taken from each tree in each replication and a composite sample for the replication consisting of 500 cm³ was assayed. Samples consisted of 3–4 probes per tree on each side of the tree row to a depth of 15-20 cm. Nematodes were extracted by using a semiautomatic elutriator and centrifugalflotation as previously described (7). Each year samples were taken in early fall (August/September) and spring (April) before the first fall and spring postplant applications. Nematode data are reported as the initial number before the first postplant treatment and then as the mean number of all subsequent spring and fall samples between the dates indicated. Soil samples were assayed for larvae representing at least 10 genera. The vast majority detected were *Criconemella* sp. Second most common, but less than 10% of the nematode larvae detected, were Meloidogyne spp. Only numbers for Criconemella sp. are reported.

In September 1987, separate peachroot and soil samples were taken from each of the three experimental locations. Nematodes were extracted from these six samples and approximately 30 mature and immature ring nematode larvae from each sample were identified to species. All were *C. xenoplax*.

Tree condition. Tree condition was evaluated each May using a rating scale of 0-5. Trees rated 0 were considered to be healthy, whereas a rating of 4 indicated a dead tree, and 5 indicated the tree had been removed. Trees rated 0-2 were considered productive (capable of bearing quality fruit).

RESULTS

C. xenoplax numbers. Significantly fewer C. xenoplax were detected in the root zone of trees treated postplant with fenamiphos for 2 or 3 consecutive years than in the root zone of nontreated trees (Tables 1 and 2). Similar results occurred in location D4W (Table 3). When fenamiphos treatments were discontinued after 2 or 3 consecutive years, C. xenoplax numbers significantly increased, compared with the numbers when treatments were continued.

Tree condition. Postplant fenamiphos treatments significantly improved tree longevity (P = 0.05) as measured by percent productive trees (Tables 1, 2, and 3). In locations E2B and F1A, only one of 28 nontreated trees was productive (Tables 1 and 2) and only three trees were alive by May 1988. The greatest percent of productive trees in location F1A (Table 1) occurred where fenamiphos was applied each year as two fall or two fall plus one spring application. Treatments of one fall application or one fall plus one spring application resulted in fewer (P = 0.05) productive trees. The least effective treatment, although significantly better than the control, was a single fall application of fenamiphos (13.44 kg/ha). Discontinuation of fenamiphos after 3 consecutive years resulted in fewer productive trees compared with trees on which fenamiphos was continued. However, this was only significant for the two-fall-application treatment. Discontinuation of fenamiphos

Table 1. Number of *Criconemella xenoplax* and percent productive trees at location F1A when fenamiphos was applied for 7 consecutive years (continued) versus discontinuation after 3 consecutive years (discontinued)

| Postplant fenamiphos | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|------------------|------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| | Mean no. before | Mean no. ^w Apr. 1982 through Sept. 1984 | | an no. ^x 5–Apr. 1987 | Productive trees (%) ^{v,x} May 1988 | |
| rates/ha/application and application schedule ^u | treatment Sept. 1981 | | Continued | Discontinued | Continued | Discontinued |
| No postplant treatment | 67 | 353 | ••• | ••• | 0 | 0 |
| 13.44 kg (1F) | 10 | 68 | 28* ^y | 132 | 67 | 38 |
| 6.72 kg (2F) | 39 | 72 | 27* | 174 | 100* ^y | 63 |
| 13.44 kg (1F), 6.72 kg (1S) | 88 | 33 | 18* | 299 | 67 | 38 |
| 6.72 kg (2F1S) | 47 | 18 | 3* | 46 | 100 | 88 |
| LSD(P = 0.05) | 42 | 22 | NS ^z | 242 | 44 | 31 |

^u1F = one fall application, 2F = two fall applications (September/October), and 1S = one spring application (April).

Nematode numbers transformed to $\log(X+1)$ and percent productive trees to arcsin $\sqrt{\text{percentage}}$ for statistical analysis. C. xenoplax not assayed after April 1987.

[&]quot;Mean of five four-tree replications.

^x Discontinued and continued consisted of two and three four-tree replications, respectively. Discontinuation of treatments began after the spring application in April 1984.

y * = Mean of continued different from mean of discontinued ($P \le 0.05$) according to Student's t test.

² NS = not significant.

was most detrimental where one fall or one fall plus one spring application had been used for 3 consecutive years.

In location E2B, all treatments of two fall plus one spring application were better (P = 0.05) than the control, but there were no differences (P = 0.05)among the continuous fenamiphos treatments (Table 2). Only one of eight nontreated trees was alive and productive by May 1988. Discontinuation of fenamiphos after 2 consecutive years of treatment resulted in significantly fewer productive trees for some treatments by May 1988 (Table 2).

In location D4W, all trees planted in the nonpreplant-treated area and not receiving postplant applications of fenamiphos were nonproductive by May 1988 (Table 3). The areas treated with fenamiphos near time of planting or with 1,3-dichloropropene in November 1982 had 11 and 67% productive trees, respectively, although no postplant treatments were applied (Table 3). Application of fenamiphos as yearly

postplant treatments improved (P = 0.05) the percentage of productive trees, but the percentage was affected by the interval between planting and initiation of postplant treatments (Table 3). Although not significantly different (P =0.05), the two fall applications generally resulted in more productive trees than did the one fall plus one spring treatment (Table 3). Where no preplant treatment was used, the best postplant treatment was the one initiated no later than the first fall (September 1983) after the trees

Table 2. Effects of rates and postplant application schedules at location E2B on number of Criconemella xenoplax and percent productive trees when fenamiphos was applied for 6 consecutive years (continued) versus discontinuation after 2 consecutive years (discontinued)

| | C. xenoplax per 100 cm3 of soilu | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------|--|--------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Postplant fenamiphos rates/ha/application and application schedule ^t | Mean no. ^v before treatment Sept. 1982 | Mean no. ^v Apr. 1983 through Sept. 1984 | Mean no." Apr. 1985–Apr. 1987 | | Productive trees (%) ^{v,w} May 1986 May 1987 May 1988 | | | | | |
| | | | Continued | l Discontinued | Continued | Discontinued | Continued | Discontinued | Continued | Discontinued |
| No postplant treatment | 266 | 333 | 168 ^x | 168 ^x | 25 | 25 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 3.36 kg (2F) | 237 | 120 | 231 | 266 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 75 | 50 |
| 3.36 kg (2F), | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6.72 kg (1S) | 123 | 57 | 51* ^y | 263 | 100 | 75 | 100 | 75 | 100* ^y | 0 |
| 6.72 kg (2F) | 111 | 80 | 150* | 446 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 75 | 50 |
| 13.44 kg (1F) | 129 | 60 | 79* | 318 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 |
| 6.72 kg (2F1S) | 157 | 13 | 2* | 311 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 13.44 kg (1F), | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6.72 kg (1S) | 104 | 27 | 11* | 338 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 100* | 0 |
| 10.08 kg (2F) | 343 | 46 | 25* | 327 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 50 | 100* | 25 |
| 20.16 kg (1F) | 196 | 57 | 44* | 166 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 50 |
| 10.08 kg (2F), | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6.72 kg (1S) | 150 | 23 | 3* | 138 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 50 |
| LSD ($P = 0.05$) | NS^z | 106 | 198 | NS | NS | NS | 55 | NS | 70 | 94 |

¹ IF = one fall application, 2F = two fall applications (September/October), and IS = one spring application (April).

Table 3. Number of Criconemella xenoplax and percent productive trees at location D4W as affected by time of initiation of postplant fenamiphos treatments in combination with different preplant treatments

| No preplant treatment ² (C. xenoplax/100 cm ³ soil) | | | Fenamiphos preplant ² (C. xenoplax/100 cm ³ soil) | | | 1,3-Dichloropropene preplant ^z (C. xenoplax/100 cm ³ soil) | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| Postplant fenamiphos 6.72 kg/ha/application ^y | | Mean no. | Mean no. after first fenamiphos application | st os Productive | Mean no. | Mean no. after first femaniphos application | Productive trees | Mean no. | Mean no. after first fenamiphos application | Productive trees |
| Application schedule | Initiation of treatment | postplant treatments | through Apr. 1987 | May 1988 (%) | postplant treatments | through Apr. 1987 | May 1988 (%) | postplant treatments | through Apr. 1987 | May 1988 (%) |
| No postplant | | | | | | | | | | |
| treatment | ••• | 5 | 264 | 0 | 11 | 231 | 11 | 1 | 220 | 67 |
| 2F | Sept. 1983 | 1 | 15 | 67 | 23 | 51 | 100 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| 1F1S | Sept. 1983 | 1 | 44 | 44 | 2 | 7 | 83 | 1 | 5 | 78 |
| 2F | Sept. 1984 | 538 | 71 | 44 | 236 | 13 | 100 | 34 | 14 | 100 |
| 1F1S | Sept. 1984 | 534 | 93 | 33 | 130 | 9 | 100 | 12 | 6 | 89 |
| 1S1F | Apr. 1985 | 356 | 71 | 33 | 139 | 22 | 50 | 97 | 35 | 89 |
| LSD (P = 0.0 | 15) | 478 | 163 | 66 | 213 | 46 | 49 | 75 | 51 | 36 |

^y1F = one fall application, 2F = two fall applications (September/October), and 1S = one spring application (April).

[&]quot;Nematode numbers transformed to $\log(X+1)$ and percent productive trees to arcsin $\sqrt{\text{percentage}}$ for statistical analysis. C. xenoplax not assayed after April 1987.

Mean of four two-tree replications.

^{*}Discontinued and continued consisted of two two-tree replications each. Discontinuation of treatments began after the spring application in

^x Sample from only one tree in one replication; other trees were dead by September 1984.

 $y* = \text{Mean of continued different from mean of discontinued } (P \le 0.05) \text{ according to Student's } t \text{ test.}$

² NS = not significant.

Data are means of three three-tree replications within each preplant treatment. Preplant treatments not replicated. Preplant fenamiphos treatment consisted of 6.72 kg/ha broadcast and disk-incorporated 2 wk before planting trees. Second application of the same rate applied to a 1.5-m band on each side of the tree row 1 mo after planting. 1,3-Dichloropropene applied November 1982 at broadcast rate of 374 L/ha. Trees lost due to mechanical injury excluded from the data reported. Nematode numbers transformed to log(X + 1) and percent productive trees to arcsin $\sqrt{percentage}$ for statistical analysis. C. xenoplax not assayed after April 1987.

were planted. In the fenamiphos preplant-treated area, initiation of postplant treatments could be delayed until the end of the second growing season (September 1984). If this treatment was delayed until the start of the third growing season (April 1985), significant loss of productive trees occurred. Where 1,3-dichloro-propene was used preplant, the initiation of postplant treatments could be delayed until at least the beginning of the third growing season (April 1985) without reducing (P = 0.05) the percent of productive trees.

DISCUSSION

Postplant use of fenamiphos can substantially improve tree survival up to at least the beginning of the ninth growing season. For increased survival of productive trees, postplant fenamiphos treatments should be initiated before ring nematode numbers become great or trees are weakened.

Discontinuation of fenamiphos after 2 or 3 consecutive years of treatment generally resulted in fewer productive trees 4 yr later. The 6.72 kg/ha/application treatment applied as two fall plus one spring application provided the longest beneficial effect. Tree condition up to 3 yr (1987) after discontinuation of treatments was not different (P = 0.05)from condition of trees on which treatments had been continued (Table 2). Trees 3-5 yr old are generally most susceptible to PTSL (4,9). However, older trees also may be affected, as illustrated in location E2B where significant tree death did not occur until the winter after the eighth growing season. Thus, treatments with fenamiphos for 2-3 consecutive years when trees are 2-3 yr old, then again at intervals of 2-3 yr, may be needed to economically reduce losses from PTSL.

The use of preplant soil treatment for managing PTSL is very important as previously reported (9,11,12). Fenamiphos used near the time of planting and in subsequent postplant treatments also may be effective for managing PTSL. This may be especially useful when lack of fumigation equipment, soil conditions, or time of planting preclude using a preplant soil fumigant.

In the Southeast, fluctuating winter temperatures are thought to cause the cold injury that ultimately causes tree death (5). The coldest periods on record in North Carolina occurred in December 1983 (-15 C) and January 1985 (-20 C). Average daily temperatures were above normal (15-20 C) during the 2 wk before these sudden temperature drops. During these two winters, 30-40\% of the peach trees in the Sandhills of North Carolina were killed (Ritchie, unpublished). Thus, the potential for PTSL was great during these experiments, but some treatments were effective under these extreme conditions.

Results from these three experiments and from observations where fenamiphos has been used on large blocks of trees indicate that postplant use of fenamiphos can improve tree survival in PTSL locations. Best results have occurred when fenamiphos is used before *C. xenoplax* increases to high levels (>100 nematodes/100 cm³ of soil) and trees become weakened, and when used as multiple yearly applications for at least 2 consecutive years.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank M. H. Bennett for technical assistance, the North Carolina Nematode Assay

Laboratory and the laboratory of K. R. Barker for performing the nematode assays, and H. H. Triantaphyllou for graciously identifying *Criconemella xenoplax* to species.

LITERATURE CITED

- Brittain, J. A., and Miller, R. W., eds. 1978. Managing peach tree short life in the Southeast. Clemson Univ. Agric. Ext. Circ. 585. 19 pp.
- Daniell, J. W. 1973. Effects of time of pruning on growth and longevity of peach trees. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 98:383-386.
- Nesmith, W. C., Zehr, E. I., and Dowler, W. M. 1981. Association of *Macroposthonia xenoplax* and *Scutellonema brachyurum* with the peach tree short life syndrome. J. Nematol. 13:220-225.
- Nyczepir, A. P., Zehr, E. I., Lewis, S. A., and Harshman, D. C. 1983. Short life of peach trees induced by *Criconemella xenoplax*. Plant Dis. 67:507-508.
- Prince, V. E. 1966. Winter injury to peach trees in central Georgia. Proc. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 88:190-196.
- Reilly, C. C., Nyczepir, A. P., Sharpe, R. R., Okie, W. R., and Pusey, P. L. 1986. Short life of peach trees as related to tree physiology, environment, pathogens, and cultural practices. Plant Dis. 70:538-541.
- Ritchie, D. F. 1984. Control of Criconemella xenoplax and Meloidogyne incognita and improved peach tree survival following multiple fall applications of fenamiphos. Plant Dis. 68:477-480.
- Weaver, D. J., Wehunt, E. J., and Dowler, W. M. 1974. Association of tree site, Pseudomonas syringae, Criconemoides xenoplax, and pruning date with short life of peach trees in Georgia. Plant Dis. Rep. 58:76-79.
- 9. Wehunt, E. J., Horton, B. D., and Prince, V. E. 1980. Effects of nematicides, lime, and herbicides on peach tree short life in Georgia. J. Nematol. 12:183-189.
- Zehr, E. I., and Golden, J. K. 1986. Strip and broadcast treatments of dichloropropene compared for controlling *Criconemella xenoplax* and short life in a peach orchard. Plant Dis. 70:1064-1066.
- Zehr, E. I., Lewis, S. A., and Gambrell, C. E., Jr. 1982. Effectiveness of certain nematicides for control of *Macroposthonia xenoplax* and short life of peach trees. Plant Dis. 66:225-228.
- Zehr, E. I., Miller, R. W., and Smith, F. H. 1976. Soil fumigation and peach rootstocks for protection against peach tree short-life. Phytopathology 66:689-694.