Management of *Meloidogyne javanica*, *M. arenaria*, and *M. incognita* on Flue-Cured Tobacco with Organophosphate, Carbamate, and Avermectin Nematicides

D. NORDMEYER, Postdoctorate, and D. W. DICKSON, Professor, Department of Entomology and Nematology, University of Florida, Gainesville 32611

ABSTRACT

Nordmeyer, D., and Dickson, D. W. 1985. Management of *Meloidogyne javanica*, *M. arenaria*, and *M. incognita* on flue-cured tobacco with organophosphate, carbamate, and avermectin nematicides. Plant Disease 69:67-69.

The differential sensitivity of *Meloidogyne javanica*, *M. arenaria*, or *M. incognita* to nonfumigant nematicides was evaluated on tobacco grown in microplots inoculated with 64 nematode eggs and/or infective second-stage juveniles per 100 cm³ of soil. Ethoprop, aldicarb, fenamiphos, oxamyl, and carbofuran applied at an overall rate of 6.7 kg a.i./ha, and avermectin B₁ at a rate of 0.17 kg a.i./ha increased yields of tobacco infected with *M. incognita*. Carbofuran did not increase yields of *M. arenaria*-infected plants. Neither carbofuran nor avermectin B₁ increased yields of *M. javanica*-infected plants. All nematicides except avermectin B₁ decreased the number of nematodes in the soil as well as the root-gall index at the end of the season. *M. javanica* and *M. arenaria* reproduced faster than *M. incognita* during the first part of the growing season.

Additional key words: Nicotiana tabacum, root-knot nematodes

Differences in the sensitivity among species of root-knot nematodes to nonfumigant nematicides have been observed in the field and under controlled conditions (3,15). Differential sensitivity to nematicides has also been reported for free-living nematodes (4,11). Meloidogyne javanica (Treub) Chitwood, M. arenaria (Neal) Chitwood, and M. incognita (Kofoid & White) Chitwood are limiting factors in Florida tobacco production. Nematicides have not always given consistent control of the three species (6,14,18,19). This study was conducted on tobacco to compare the differential sensitivity of M. javanica, M. arenaria, or M. incognita to five widely used nonfumigant nematicides, ethoprop, fenamiphos, aldicarb, oxamyl, carbofuran, and one experimental compound, avermectin B₁, and to determine their effectiveness in increasing tobacco yields.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in 76-cm-diameter microplots enclosed with fiberglass and open at the bottom (10). The microplot soil consisted of an Arredondo fine sand (93.2% sand, 4.3% silt, and 2.5% clay; pH 5.8, 1.5% organic

Florida Agricultural Experiment Station Journal Series No. 4523.

This research was supported in part by a grant of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (German Research Society).

Accepted for publication 27 June 1984.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

©1985 The American Phytopathological Society

matter) treated with 1,200 kg/ha of methyl bromide 2 mo before planting. The microplots were 1.2–1.5 m apart and the area between the plots was kept fallow during the growing season. The entire microplot area was bordered by tobacco. No plant-parasitic nematodes were detected when the microplots were sampled before planting.

M. incognita, M. arenaria, and M. javanica were cultured in the greenhouse on tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. 'Rutgers'). Eggs used as inoculum were extracted from tomato roots with 0.5% sodium hypochlorite (8). Each microplot was inoculated with an initial density of 64 eggs and/or infective second-stage juveniles per 100 cm³ of soil. The inocula were placed in 54 uniformly spaced holes divided evenly to provide depths of 7, 14, and 23 cm.

Ethoprop (10G), fenamiphos (15G), aldicarb (10G), oxamyl (2L), carbofuran (10G), and avermectin B₁ (0.3G) (Merck, Sharp, & Dohme L-676-863) were evaluated. Avermectin B₁ was applied

overall at 0.17 kg a.i./ha; other nematicides were applied overall at 6.7 kg a.i./ha. The nematicides were applied by shaker jar with a screened lid and incorporated into the top 8 cm of soil, except for avermectin B₁, which was incorporated into the top 13 cm of soil because of its relative immobility in soil.

Nematodes were added to the soil on two consecutive days followed by the nematicide application on the third day. Two plants of the root-knot-nematodesusceptible tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L. 'McNair 944') were transplanted 25 cm apart in each microplot on the fourth day. Each treatment was replicated seven times in a randomized complete-block design. About 400 spores of the endomycorrhizal fungus Glomus microcarpum Tull. & Tull. were added to each microplot at planting. Fertilizer applications to simulate field conditions were used. The microplots were weeded and irrigated and the plants sprayed for insect control as needed. Axillary and terminal buds of tobacco were removed as necessary. Soil samples were taken with a 2.5-cm cone-shaped auger for nematode analysis 7, 11, and 15 wk after planting. Soil (250 cm³) was processed by a modified centrifugation-flotation technique (9). Mature tobacco leaves were harvested, flue-cured, and weighed seven times during the growing season. Immediately after the final harvest, a root-gall index was made, where 0 = no visible galls, 1 =1-25%, 2 = 26-50%, 3 = 51-75%, and 4 = more than 75% of the tobacco rootsystem galled.

Nematode counts of the soil samples converted to $\log_{10}(x+1)$ were analyzed by Duncan's new multiple range test if the attained significance level of the F test from an analysis of variance was $P \le 0.05$.

Table 1. Effects of nematicides on the yield of tobacco cultivar McNair 944 infected with three Meloidogyne species

| Treatment | Rate | Yield (g cured weight/plot) ^y | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--|-------------|--------------|--|--|
| | (kg a.i./ha) | M. javanica | M. arenaria | M. incognita | | |
| Fenamiphos | 6.7 | 81.5 a² | 81.8 a | 84.0 a | | |
| Aldicarb | 6.7 | 82.4 a | 80.3 ab | 80.1 a | | |
| Ethoprop | 6.7 | 80.1 a | 80.2 ab | 80.2 a | | |
| Oxamyl | 6.7 | 77.4 a | 74.4 ab | 76.7 a | | |
| Avermectin B ₁ | 0.17 | 61.4 b(a) | 75.2 ab(a) | 78.2 a(b) | | |
| Carbofuran | 6.7 | 65.6 b(a) | 72.2 bc(ab) | 75.5 a(b) | | |
| Control | | 65.6 b | 64.9 c | 66.2 b | | |

^yNumbers are means of seven replicates.

²Means with the same letter are not significantly different (P = 0.05). Letters in parentheses indicate differences among nematode species receiving the same nematicide treatment according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

Table 2. Effects of nematicides and soil populations of three Meloidogyne species 7, 11, and 15 wk after planting

| | | Soil population (weeks after planting) ^y | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|---|------------|---------|-----------|-------------|--------|---------------|-------------|--------|
| | Rate | | M. javanic | a | | M. arenaria | ! | I | M. incognit | а |
| Treatment | (kg a.i./ha) | 7 | 11 | 15 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 7 | 11 | 15 |
| Fenamiphos | 6.7 | 0.10 d ^z | 1.19 b | 0.32 с | 0.35 с | 1.27 cd | 0.62 b | 0.33 cd | 0.97 b | 0.81 b |
| Aldicarb | 6.7 | 0.00 d | 0.78 b | 0.50 c | 0.00 d | 0.49 c | 0.61 d | 0.00 d | 0.47 b | 0.90 b |
| Ethoprop | 6.7 | 0.00 d | 0.97 b | 0.35 с | 0.13 cd | 1.00 cd | 0.73 b | 0.13 cd | 1.08 b | 1.03 b |
| Oxamyl | 6.7 | 0.13 d | 0.86 b | 1.08 bc | 0.27 с | 1.14 cd | 0.61 b | 0.23 с | 1.09 b | 1.50 b |
| Carbofuran | 6.7 | 0.62 c | 2.25 a | 1.87 b | 0.95 b | 1.87 b | 1.53 b | 0.78 a | 2.38 a | 2.65 a |
| Avermectin B ₁ | 0.17 | 1.90 a | 3.03 a | 2.91 a | 1.61 a(b) | 2.85 a | 3.21 a | 0.44 b(c) | 2.13 a | 2.73 a |
| Control | ••• | 1.51 b(a) | 2.33 a | 3.13 a | 1.61 a(a) | 3.23 a | 3.06 a | $0.88 \ a(b)$ | 2.55 a | 3.63 a |

^y Numbers are means of seven replicates; data transformed to $\log_{10} (x + 1)$ nematodes per 250 cm³ of soil.

Table 3. Effects of nematicides on the gall index of tobacco plants infected with three Meloidogyne species

| Treatment | Rate | Gall index ^y | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|
| | (kg a.i./ha) | M. javanica | M. arenaria | M. incognita | | |
| Fenamiphos | 6.7 | 1.8 d ^z | 1.6 d | 1.9 d | | |
| Aldicarb | 6.7 | 1.9 d | 1.5 d | 2.3 cd | | |
| Oxamyl | 6.7 | 2.0 cd | 2.4 b | 2.9 bc | | |
| Ethoprop | 6.7 | 2.4 b | 2.2 c | 3.1 b | | |
| Carbofuran | 6.7 | 3.3 ab | 3.4 ab | 3.5 ab | | |
| Avermectin B ₁ | 0.17 | 3.6 a | 4.0 a | 3.3 ab | | |
| Control | ••• | 4.0 a | 4.0 a | 4.0 a | | |

^yRoot-knot gall index: 0 = no visible galls, 1 = 1-25%, 2 = 26-50%, 3 = 51-75%, and 4 = >75% of tobacco root system galled.

RESULTS

Yield of tobacco plants infected with M. arenaria was not increased by carbofuran. Yield of tobacco plants infected with M. javanica was not increased by applications of either carbofuran or avermectin B_1 (P=0.05) (Table 1). Fenamiphos, aldicarb, ethoprop, and oxamyl were equally effective in all treatments. Carbofuran increased tobacco yields only in plots infested with M. incognita.

All nematicides except avermectin B₁ decreased the number of *M. javanica* and *M. arenaria* juveniles in the soil. Neither carbofuran nor avermectin B₁ decreased the number of juveniles of *M. incognita* in soil (Table 2). Larger numbers of *M. javanica* and *M. arenaria* than *M. incognita* were found in the control plots 7 wk after treatment, whereas no population differences among species existed 15 wk after inoculation. Similar results were also found in plots treated with avermectin B₁. For all other treatments, no differences in nematode numbers could be shown among species.

Gall indices were not significantly different among species (P = 0.05) (Table 3). Fenamiphos, aldicarb, and oxamyl notably reduced galling, whereas gall indices in plots treated with carbofuran and avermectin B_1 were not significantly different from those in untreated plots. Ethoprop was intermediate in effectiveness.

DISCUSSION

Differences in the efficacy of various

nematicides on root-knot nematodes on tobacco have been explained to be caused by differing reproductive rates among root-knot nematode species (3). In field and greenhouse studies, M. javanica and M. arenaria have been reported to be more damaging than M. incognita on susceptible tobacco cultivars (1-3). In our study, however, no significant differences in yields were observed in the untreated tobacco plots infected with either species, although soil populations of M. javanica and M. arenaria were greater than those of M. incognita 7 wk after planting. The small differences in yields among plots containing the three species may be attributed to the relatively low initial populations (Pis) of nematodes used as well as the environmental conditions during the experiment. The maximum-minimum mean soil temperatures at a depth of 10 cm under centipedegrass sod for the 3.5 mo of the experiment were 25.6-21.9, 28.6-25.0, 31.9-28.6, and 32.1-28.8 C. The tobacco was not stressed by other competitive soil microorganisms, weeds, or drought. Yet, in past microplot experiments conducted in Florida, M. javanica reduced tobacco leaf yield at relatively low Pis compared with tobacco plants infected with the same levels of M. incognita (1). In greenhouse experiments, Paez et al (16) and Lopez-Chaves et al (12) did not find differences in the yield of susceptible tobacco cultivars infected with either M. javanica or M. incognita. Differences in populations of these two species and environmental conditions may account

for these discrepancies.

Carbofuran and avermectin B1 were less effective than the other nematicides against M. javanica. Carbofuran also appeared slightly less effective against M. arenaria than the other nematicides. In general, carbofuran has been shown to be less active against root-knot nematodes than against other nematode species (5,15,17) in Florida. Avermectin B_1 , a very potent nematicide under controlled conditions (7,20), showed comparatively low activity in the field, but the compound was evaluated at about a 40-fold lower rate than the other nematicides. This agrees with other observations of this compound on tobacco, tomato, corn, soybean, and peanut (J. R. Rich, A. J. Overman, R. A. Kinloch, and D. W. Dickson, personal communications). In this study, the compound did not show activity against M. javanica. There was a significant yield increase, however, in M. incognita plots treated with avermectin B₁, which agrees with findings of Sasser et al (20) and Garabedian and Van Gundy (7). It has been reported that ethoprop was less effective against M. arenaria than M. incognita (3); however, in our experiment, the compound was equally effective against the three root-knot nematode species.

Greater differences in nematicide susceptibility between Aphelenchus avenae and Panagrellus redivivus were found with the relatively weak nematicide phorate than with the much more effective aldicarb (4,11). Similar differences in susceptibility for phorate and aldicarb were shown between M. javanica and M. incognita concerning hatching and migration (13).

These findings and our own studies suggest that more potent nematicides may be so effective that differences in nematicide susceptibility between closely related nematodes are not easily recognized. Differences in efficacy often may go undetected in the field, especially where differences in susceptibility may be marginal. This leads to the conclusion that differential effectiveness of nematicidal compounds on closely related nematode species is more likely to be detected where less effective nematicides are used or where effective ones lose their

² Means with the same letter are not significantly different (P = 0.05). Letters in parentheses indicate differences among nematode species receiving the same nematicide treatment according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

Means with the same letter are not significantly different (P = 0.05) according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

potency because of absorption, leaching, or conversion into less nematicidal products. Research on the differential drug sensitivity among different species of plant-parasitic nematodes is still rudimentary and deserves further investigation.

LITERATURE CITED

- Arens, M. L., and Rich, J. R. 1981. Yield response and injury levels of Meloidogyne incognita and M. javanica on the susceptible tobacco 'McNair 944.' J. Nematol. 13:196-201.
- Arens, M. L., Rich, J. R., and Dickson, D. W. 1981. Comparative studies on root invasion, root galling, and fecundity of three *Meloidogyne* spp. on a susceptible tobacco cultivar. J. Nematol. 13:201-205.
- Barker, K. R., Todd, F. A., Shane, W. W., and Nelson, L. A. 1981. Interrelationships of Meloidogyne species with flue-cured tobacco. J. Nematol. 13:67-69.
- Batterby, S., LePatourel, G. N. J., and Wright, D. J. 1976. Accumulation and metabolism of aldicarb by the free-living nematodes Aphelenchus avenae and Panagrellus redivivus. Ann. Appl. Biol. 86:69-76.

- Dickson, D. W., and Johnson, J. T. 1972. Effect of rates and methods of applying several nematicides on nematode populations and corn yields. Soil Crop Sci. Fla. Proc. 33:74-77.
- Dickson, D. W., and Johnson, J. T. 1977. Chemical control of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Pratylenchus* sp. on flue-cured tobacco in Florida. Fungic. Nematic. Tests 32:223-224.
- Garabedian, S., and Van Gundy, S. D. 1983. Use of avermectins for the control of *Meloidogyne* incognita on tomatoes. J. Nematol. 15:503-510.
- Hussey, R. S., and Barker, K. R. 1973. A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of Meloidogyne spp., including a new technique. Plant Dis. Rep. 57:1025-1028.
- Jenkins, W. R. 1964. A rapid centrifugal-flotation technique for separating nematodes from soil. Plant Dis. Rep. 68:612.
- Johnson, J. T., Rich, J. R., and Boatwright, A. W. 1981. A technique for establishing microplots in the field. J. Nematol. 13:233-235.
- LePatourel, G. N. J., and Wright, D. J. 1976. Some factors affecting the susceptibility of two nematode species to phorate. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 6:296-305.
- Lopez-Chaves, R., Dickson, D. W., and Littell, R. C. 1977. Effecto de Meloidogyne incognita y M. javanica en el crecimiento de dos cultivares de tobaco estufado. Nematropica 7:36-44.

- McLeod, R. W., and Khair, G. T. 1975. Effects of oximecarbamate, organophosphate and benzimidazole nematicides on life cycle stages of rootknot nematodes, *Meloidogyne* spp. Ann. Appl. Biol. 79:329-341.
- Miller, C. R., Clark, F., and Perry, V. G. 1969. Chemical control of nematodes on flue-cured tobacco. Soil Crop Sci. Soc. Fla. Proc. 29:369-372.
- Nordmeyer, D., Rich, J. R., and Dickson, D. W. 1982. Effect of ethoprop, carbofuran, and aldicarb on flue-cured tobacco infected with three species of *Meloidogyne*. Nematropica 12:198-203.
- Paez, N., Arcia-M., A., and Meredith, J. A. 1976. Efecto individual y combinado de Meloidogyne incognita y M. javanica sobre cuatro cultivares de tobaco (Nicotiana tabacum L.) en Venezuela. Nematropica 6:68-76.
- Rhoades, H. L. 1981. Effect of nematicides on yield of peppers in *Belonolaimus longicaudatus* infested soil. Nematropica 11:121-127.
- Rich, J. R. 1979. Results of nematicide trials conducted on flue-cured tobacco in 1977, 1978, and 1979. ARC-Live Oak Res. Rep. 79-1.
- Rich, J. R., Arens, M. L., and Johnson, J. T. 1982. Nature and extent of Javenese root-knot nematode damage to flue-cured tobacco in Florida. Univ. Fla. Bull. 826. 16 pp.
- Sasser, J. N., Kirkpatrick, T. L., and Dybas, R. A. 1982. Efficacy of avermectins for root-knot control in tobacco. Plant Dis. 66:691-693.