Recent Advances in Fusarium Systematics

Mating Populations in Gibberella fujikuroi (Fusarium Section Liseola)

John F. Leslie

Associate professor, Department of Plant Pathology, Throckmorton Hall, Kansas State University, Manhattan 66506-5502. Supported in part by the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station and by grants from the Kansas State Board of Agriculture (Kansas Corn Commission and Kansas Grain Sorghum Commission), and by the International Sorghum/Millet Collaborative Research Support Program (INTSORMIL) AID/DAN-1254-G-00-0021-00 from the Agency for International Development, Washington, DC

Contribution 91-221-J from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station, Kansas State University, Manhattan. Accepted for publication 8 February 1991 (submitted for electronic processing).

Fungal isolates belonging to Fusarium section Liseola are distributed worldwide on a variety of economically important plants including rice (36), maize (20), sorghum (20), mango (38), pine (4), pineapple (33), and sugarcane (23). Additionally, some strains produce significant quantities of secondary metabolites, such as gibberellic acids (30), and mycotoxins, such as moniliformin (22), fusarin C (39), fusaric acid (22), and fumonisins (7). Macroconidial morphology, the most commonly used trait in distinguishing species of Fusarium, is not useful for distinguishing the species within the Liseola section from one another (24); consequently, some authorities (e.g., 35) have recognized only a single species, usually F. moniliforme Sheldon, within this section. More recently, such characteristics as the presence or absence of polyphialides and/or napiforme microconidia, and microconidia in long chains, short chains, or false heads have been used to distinguish the species within this section. Some of these characteristics are sensitive to strain variability and to environmental culture conditions. Using these characteristics, Nelson et al (24) could distinguish four species within this section: F. anthophilum (A. Braun) Wollenw., F. moniliforme, F. proliferatum (Matsushima) Nirenberg, and F. subglutinans (Wollenw & Reinking) Nelson, Toussoun & Marasas. Similarly, Nirenberg (25) recognized six species: F. anthophilum, F. fujikuroi Nirenberg, F. proliferatum, F. sacchari (Butler) Gams, F. succisae (Schröter) Sacc., and F. verticillioides (Sacc.) Nirenberg, although these species do not necessarily correspond with those recognized by Nelson et al (24). These differences in nomenclature often have made it difficult for scientists who lack an intense interest in the taxonomy of this group to correctly name strains that are known to be important plant pathogens or to produce economically important secondary metabolites, and have led to significant confusion within the scientific literature.

One possible way around these difficulties is to use the sexual stage to distinguish species. These distinctions usually are based on mating tests and may give rise to either taxonomic or biologic species, such as those found in Armillaria (37) or Neurospora (27-29). Whenever techniques similar to this one are used on a large scale, it is important to develop highly fertile tester strains to minimize the number and difficulties associated with strains that do not cross with any of the standard strains. Mating tests are also sensitive to environmental conditions and to naturally occurring mutations that may affect such traits as the number of spores per ascus (12,34), perithecial initiation and pigmentation (1,3), and meiosis and ascus maturation (21).

In Fusarium section Liseola, Hsieh et al (10) identified three different mating populations termed A, B, and C from corn, sugarcane, and rice, respectively. Crosses were made on many different media, but perithecia were most abundant on V8 juice agar; on Sach's agar + rice straw; and on potato-dextrose agar (PDA) + dried banana leaves, carrot leaves, or rice straw. The number of perithecia produced per cross varied significantly. Within each population, mating was heterothallic and under the control of a single locus with two alleles. Isolation of the mating populations is prezygotic (i.e., sexual diploid zygotes are not formed), and crosses between strains from different mating populations are usually no more fertile than if water alone is used to fertilize the female parent. DuTeau and Leslie (unpublished) found no hybridization between the A and a alleles of N. crassa Shear & Dodge (8) and any of the standard testers of Gibberella fujikuroi (Sawada) Ito in Ito & K. Kimura, even under very low stringency conditions (42 C and 30% formamide). Two preliminary reports of homothallic and heterothallic mating behavior in F. subglutinans have been made, but have not been confirmed (18,24). These reports are consistent with mating type switching similar to that reported in Saccharomyces cerevisiae Hansen (9) and some other filamentous fungi (26).

Kuhlman (16) extended the work of Hsieh et al (10) to additional strains and, along with Kathariou (11), identified a fourth mating population termed D. Kuhlman (16) assigned each mating population a name as a variety of G. fujikuroi: A—var. moniliformis, B—var. subglutinans, C—var. fujikuroi, and D—var. intermedia. He could not distinguish these groups as distinct species on morphological grounds because there was too much

overlap between them in the characters that he was using. He provided pairs of testers for each population that produced fertile perithecia in crosses on V8 juice agar or PDA, with or without wheat straw, when one member of the pair was used as the male parent and the other as the female parent. Reciprocal crosses in which the sexual roles were reversed were usually poorly fertile at best and were often sterile. One of Kuhlman's testers for the A group, strain 175, was shown by Correll et al (3) to carry the hsil gene, which results in female sterility and heterokaryon self-incompatibility. These problems with sexual fertility have led to confusion of sexuality with mating type and have made it difficult to screen populations via mating tests.

Since Kuhlman's work, additional studies have shown that the A and D populations can be differentiated on the basis of isozyme analyses (11) and DNA-DNA thermal renaturation experiments (5,6), and mutants have been induced for genetic mapping studies (13,15,31,32) and studies of vegetative compatibility groups (VCGs). Additionally, the field populations described by Leslie et al (20) have been crossed in mating tests with standard testers, and representatives of all four of the known mating populations have been found in the United States (2,17). Also from this work, two additional mating populations, E and F, have been identified but have not yet been formally described (14; Leslie, unpublished).

Depending on the sample, 10-25% of the isolates will not cross with standard testers in mating type tests, and, based on preliminary data, I think it is likely that additional mating populations will be identified. In my laboratory, we have found that carrot agar (13) is usually more effective for making crosses and obtaining large numbers of fertile perithecia than V8 juice agar or carnation leaf agar (24). We also have found that light following fertilization is essential for development of fertile perithecia (13), and that crosses on plates that are sealed with Parafilm or an aqueous condensate are much less fertile than crosses on plates that are not sealed.

With the discovery of additional mating populations have come further taxonomic problems. As a result of Kuhlman's work, at least three different anamorphic species according to Nelson et al (24)—F. moniliforme, F. subglutinans, and F. proliferatum—have the same teleomorph. The E and F populations further complicate this situation because members of both the A and the F populations are F. moniliforme, and members of both the B and E populations are F. subglutinans. I have crossed standard mating type tester strains with reference cultures obtained from Paul Nelson at Pennsylvania State University, and the results are summarized in Table 1. These results suggest that further work with these fungi is needed to correlate the taxonomic species

TABLE 1. Distribution of strains of Fusarium section Liseola into different mating populations of Gibberella fujikuroi

Strain number ^a	MP MT ^b	Female fertility ^c	Host	Location	Source ^d (and number)	Other source ^d (and number)	Reference
FKMA0102	A^+	+	Sorghum	San Joaquin Co., CA	PTS-F80	PEN-M3120	3,11,12,13,15
FKMA0149	A^{-}	+	Maize	Visalia, CA	PTS-F237	PEN-M3125	17,31,32
FKMA0999	A^+	+	Maize	Knightstown, IN	JFL	PEN-M3703	11,12,20 20
FKMA1811	A^{-}	_			PEN-M1068	FEN-W15/05	5,17
FKMA2903	A^{-}	+	Maize	Red Spring, NC	JFL	PEN-M3729	
FKMA3823	A^{-}	?	•••		PEN-M1212	WG-12427	1,20 24
FKMA3824	A^{-}	?	****	•••	PEN-M1212	WG-62264	
FKMB0278	\mathbf{B}^{+}	+	Sugarcane	Taiwan	PTS-F1251		5,24
FKMB0281	\mathbf{B}^{-}	+	Sugarcane	Taiwan	PTS-F1251	PEN-M3127	18,19
FKMB3852	\mathbf{B}^{+}	÷	Progeny from 278 × 281	i aiwan		PEN-M3128	18,19
FKMB3853	\mathbf{B}^{-}	+	Progeny from 278×281	•••	JFL	•••	19
FKMB3820	\mathbf{B}^{-}	+			JFL		19
FKMB3821	\mathbf{B}^{-}	22	•••		PEN-M942	WG-63342	24
FKMC0596	C-	?	Maize		PEN-M943	WG-63448	24
FKMC1100	C-	?		Cummings, KS	JFL	•••	2
FKMC1993	C ⁺	+	Sorghum Rice	Cherokee, KS	JFL	•••	2
FKMC1994	C ⁺	+	Rice	***	PEN-M1148	EGK-FSL288	5,16
FKMC1994	C-			•••	PEN-M1149	EGK-FSL290	5,16
FKMC1995	C-	=	Rice	•••	PEN-M1150	EGK-FSL293	16
			Rice	**	PEN-M1151	EGK-FSL294	5,6,16
FKMD0875	\mathbf{D}^{+}	+	Sorghum	Beloit, KS	JFL	PEN-M5128	2,19
FKMD1236	\mathbf{D}^{+}	?	Sorghum	Holcomb, MS	JFL	PEN-M3838	20
FKMD2892	\mathbf{D}^{-}	?	Maize	Carrol, OH	JFL	PEN-M3708	20
FKMD2945	\mathbf{D}_{-}	+	Sorghum	Holcomb, MS	JFL	PEN-M3793	20
FKMD2959	\mathbf{D}_{-}	-	Tobacco	Fork, SC	JFL	PEN-M3813	20
FKMD3822	\mathbf{D}^{+}	?	•••	•••	PEN-M944	WG-10651	24
FKMD3827	\mathbf{D}_{-}	?	***	•••	PEN-M1216	WG-62261	24
FKME0507	E_	-	Maize	Rossville, KS	JFL	PEN-M5119	2,19
FKME0731	E^+		Maize	Powhattan, KS	JFL	PEN-M5126	2,19
FKME0990	\mathbf{E}^{-}	+	Maize	St. Elmo, IN	JFL	PEN-M3696	19,20
FKME2882	\mathbf{E}^{+}	+	Maize	St. Elmo, IN	JFL	PEN-M3693	19,20
FKME3809	\mathbf{E}^{+}	+	•••	•••	PEN-M845	WG-62275	24
FKME3812	\mathbf{E}^{-}	?	***		PEN-M848	WG-63617	5,24
FKME3813	\mathbf{E}^{+}	?	***		PEN-M849	WG-63619	24
FKME3815	\mathbf{E}^{+}	_			PEN-M851	WG-63621	24
FKMF0728	\mathbf{F}^+	0. 	Sorghum	Powhattan, KS	JFL	PEN-M5598	14,19
FKMF0966	\mathbf{F}^-	-	Sorghum	Zeandale, KS	JFL	LEW-M22399	14,19
FKMF1096	\mathbf{F}^+	_	Sorghum	Brazilton, KS	JFL	PEN-M5139	14,19
FKMF1377	F ⁺	+	Sorghum	Wakeeny, KS	JFL	PEN-M5159 PEN-M5555	
FKMF1518	F-	+	Progeny from 966 × 1377	wakeeny, ks	JFL JFL	PEN-M5555	14,19
FKMF1540	F-	+	Progeny from 966×1377	•••	JFL		14,19 14,19

^aStrain number in Kansas State University collection.

^bLetter (A-F) designates mating population (MP); +/- designates mating type (MT).

^{+ =} Female fertile; - = female sterile; ? = female fertility unknown.

dJFL = J. F. Leslie, Dept. of Plant Pathology, Kansas State University, Manhattan; EGK = E. G. Kuhlman, USDA Forest Service, Athens, GA; PEN = P. E. Nelson, Dept. of Plant Pathology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park; PTS = P. T. Spieth, Dept. of Genetics, University of California, Berkeley; WG = W. Gerlach, Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, Institut für Mikrobiologie, Berlin, Germany.

and mating populations within this group. This correlation is important since different mating populations are found preferentially on different hosts (19), and since these differences may reflect important distinctions related to the pathogenic potential of these organisms.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Chaisrisook, C., and Leslie, J. F. 1990. A nuclear gene controlling perithecial pigmentation in Gibberella fujikuroi (Fusarium moniliforme). J. Hered. 81:189-192.
- 2. Chaisrisook, C., and Leslie, J. F. 1990. Genetic diversity within populations of Fusarium section Liseola from corn and sorghum in Kansas. (Abstr.) Phytopathology 80:1042.
- 3. Correll, J. C., Klittich, C. J. R., and Leslie, J. F. 1989. Heterokaryon self-incompatibility in Gibberella fujikuroi (Fusarium moniliforme). Mycol. Res. 93:21-27.
- 4. Dwinell, L. D., Kuhlman, E. G., and Blakeslee, G. M. 1981. Pitch canker of southern pines. Pages 188-194 in: Fusarium: Diseases, Biology, and Taxonomy. P. E. Nelson, T. A. Toussoun, and R. J. Cook, eds. Pennsylvania State University Press, University Park. 457
- 5. Ellis, J. J. 1988. Section Liseola of Fusarium. Mycologia 80:255-258
- 6. Ellis, J. J. 1989. An alignment of toxigenic Gibberella strains having anamorphs in section Liseola of Fusarium. Mycologia 81:307-311.
- Gelderblom, W. C. A., Jaskiewicz, K., Marasas, W. F. O., Thiel, P. G., Horak, R. M., Vleggaar, R., and Kriek, N. P. J. 1988. Fumonisins-Novel mycotoxins with cancer-promoting activity associated with Fusarium moniliforme. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 54:1806-1811.
- Glass, N. L., Vollmer, S. J., Staben, C., Groteluschen, J., Metzenberg, R. L., and Yanofsky, C. 1988. DNAs of two mating-type alleles of Neurospora crassa are highly dissimilar. Science 241:570-573.
- 9. Herskowitz, I. 1988. Life cycle of the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Rev. 52:536-553.
- 10. Hsieh, W. H., Smith, S. N., and Snyder, W. C. 1977. Mating groups in Fusarium moniliforme. Phytopathology 67:1041-1043.
- 11. Kathariou, S. 1981. Gene pool organization in Fusarium moniliforme. Ph.D. dissertation. University of California, Berkeley. 89 pp.
- 12. Kathariou, S., and Spieth, P. T. 1982. Spore-killer polymorphism in Fusarium moniliforme. Genetics 102:19-24.
- 13. Klittich, C. J. R., and Leslie, J. F. 1988. Nitrate reduction mutants of Fusarium moniliforme (Gibberella fujikuroi). Genetics 118:417-423
- 14. Klittich, C. J. R., and Leslie, J. F. 1988. Unusual isolates of Fusarium moniliforme from sorghum in Kansas. (Abstr.) Phytopathology
- Klittich, C. J. R., and Leslie, J. F. 1989. Chlorate-resistant, nitrate-utilizing (crn) mutants of Fusarium moniliforme (Gibberella fujikuroi). J. Gen. Microbiol. 135:721-727.
- 16. Kuhlman, E. G. 1982. Varieties of Gibberella fujikuroi with anamorphs in Fusarium section Liseola. Mycologia 74:759-768.
- 17. Leslie, J. F., and Dickman, M. B. 1991. Fate of DNA encoding hygromycin resistance after meiosis in transformed strains of Gibberella fujikuroi (Fusarium moniliforme). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 57:1423-1429.
- 18. Leslie, J. F., Hwang, F., and Doe, F. J. 1986. Homothallic and heterothallic isolates of Fusarium subglutinans. (Abstr.) Phytopathol-

- ogy 76:1142.
- 19. Leslie, J. F., Klittich, C. J. R., and Chaisrisook, C. 1990. Fertility of isolates from Fusarium section Liseola. (Abstr.) Mycol. Soc. Am. Newsl. 41(1):24.
- 20. Leslie, J. F., Pearson, C. A. S., Nelson, P. E., and Toussoun, T. A. 1990. Fusarium spp. from corn, sorghum, and soybean fields in the central and eastern United States. Phytopathology 80:343-350.
- 21. Leslie, J. F., and Raju, N. B. 1985. Recessive mutations from natural populations of Neurospora crassa that are expressed in the sexual diplophase. Genetics 111:759-777.
- 22. Marasas, W. F. O., Nelson, P. E., and Toussoun, T. A. 1984. Toxigenic Fusarium Species: Identity and Mycotoxicology. Pennsylvania State University Press, University Park. 328 pp.
- 23. Martin, J. P., Handojo, H., and Wismer, C. A. 1989. Pokkah boeng. Pages 157-168 in: Diseases of Sugarcane: Major Diseases. C. Ricaud, B. T. Egan, A. G. Gillaspie, Jr., and C. G. Hughes, eds. Elsevier, New York.
- 24. Nelson, P. E., Toussoun, T. A., and Marasas, W. F. O. 1983. Fusarium Species: An Illustrated Manual for Identification. Pennsylvania State University Press, University Park. 193 pp.
- 25. Nirenberg, H. I. 1989. Identification of Fusaria occurring in Europe on cereals and potatoes. Pages 179-193 in: Fusarium: Mycotoxins, Taxonomy, and Pathogenicity. J. Chelkowski, ed. Elsevier, New York.
- 26. Perkins, D. D. 1987. Mating type switching in filamentous ascomycetes. Genetics 115:215-216.
- 27. Perkins, D. D., and Raju, N. B. 1986. Neurospora discreta, a new heterothallic species defined by its crossing behavior. Exp. Mycol. 10:323-338.
- 28. Perkins, D. D., and Turner, B. C. 1988. Neurospora from natural populations: Towards the population biology of a haploid eukaryote. Exp. Mycol. 12: 91-131.
- 29. Perkins, D. D., Turner, B. C., and Barry, E. G. 1976. Strains of Neurospora collected from nature. Evolution 30:281-313.
- 30. Phinney, B. O., and West, C. A. 1960. Gibberellins as native plant growth regulators. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 11:411-436.
- Puhalla, J. E., and Spieth, P. T. 1983. Heterokaryosis in Fusarium moniliforme. Exp. Mycol. 7:328-335.
- Puhalla, J. E., and Spieth, P. T. 1985. A comparison of heterokaryosis and vegetative incompatibility among varieties of Gibberella fujikuroi (Fusarium moniliforme). Exp. Mycol. 9:39-47.
- 33. Rohrbach, K. G., and Pfeiffer, J. B. 1976. Susceptibility of pineapple cultivars to fruit diseases incited by Penicillium funiculosum and Fusarium moniliforme. Phytopathology 66:1386-1390.
- Sidhu, G. S. 1984. Genetics of Gibberella fujikuroi. V. Spore-killer alleles in Gibberella fujikuroi. J. Hered. 75:237-238.
- Snyder, W. C., and Toussoun, T. A. 1965. Current status of taxonomy in Fusarium species and their perfect stages. Phytopathology 55:833-
- 36. Sun, S.-K., and Snyder, W. C. 1981. The bakane disease of the rice plant. Pages 104-113 in: Fusarium: Diseases, Biology and Taxonomy. P. E. Nelson, T. A. Toussoun, and R. J. Cook, eds. Pennsylvania State University Press, University Park. 457 pp.
- 37. Termoshuizen, A., and Arnolds, E. 1987. On the nomenclature of the European species of Armillaria mellea. Mycotaxon 30:101-116.
- Varma, A., Lele, V. C., Raychaudhuri, S. P., Ram, A., and Sang, A. 1974. Mango malformation: A fungal disease. Phytopathol. Z. 79:254-257.
- Wiebe, L. A., and Bjeldanes, L. F. 1981. Fusarin C, a mutagen from Fusarium moniliforme grown on corn. J. Food Sci. 46:1424-1426.