### Genetics

# Inheritance of Resistance in Sorghum to Three Pathotypes of Peronosclerospora sorghi

Jeweus Craig and K. F. Schertz

Research plant pathologist, and research plant geneticist, respectively. Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Respective addresses: Plant Pathology and Microbiology Department, and Soil and Crop Sciences Department, Texas A&M University, College Station 77843.

Cooperative investigations of the Agricultural Research Service, USDA and Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, College Station. Approved for publication as Technical Article 20136 of the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station.

Accepted for publication 15 May 1985 (submitted for electronic processing).

#### ABSTRACT

Craig, J., and Schertz, K. F. 1985. Inheritance of resistance in sorghum to three pathotypes of Peronosclerospora sorghi. Phytopathology 75:1077-1078.

The F1, F2, and F3 generations of the cross of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) inbred line SC 414-12 (which is resistant to pathotypes 1, 2, and 3 of Peronosclerospora sorghi) and the universally susceptible sorghum line Tx 412 were used to determine inheritance of resistance to P. sorghi. In SC 414-12, resistance was expressed as an incompatible host/pathogen interaction which inhibited pathogen development and sporulation in Additional key words: sorghum downy mildew.

leaves inoculated with conidia of P. sorghi. The reactions of the parental lines and progenies to conidial inoculum of the three pathotypes supported the hypothesis that resistance of P. sorghi to these pathotypes was conferred by a single dominant gene. The F2 phenotypic ratios were 3 resistant: 1 susceptible; F2 genotypic ratios were 1 homozygous resistant : 2 heterozygous: I homozygous susceptible.

Sorghum downy mildew, caused by Peronosclerospora sorghi (Weston and Uppal) C. G. Shaw, is an internationally important disease of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench.) and corn (Zea mays L.) (6). In the United States, the disease has caused severe damage to sorghum production in Texas (5). Host resistance is an effective means of controlling sorghum downy mildew and several resistant sorghum hybrids adapted to Texas have been developed (5). Although sorghum genotypes resistant to downy mildew have been identified and used successfully to produce resistant sorghum hybrids, few studies on the mode of inheritance of resistance have been reported. Puttarudrappa and co-workers (7) reported that resistance to P. sorghi in sorghum cultivars IS 84 and IS 2941 was conferred by two recessive genes. Frederiksen et al (6) reported that resistance to P. sorghi in three sorghum lines ranged from complete to intermediate dominance and that it was conditioned either by multiple genes or by a major gene with modifiers.

Recently, pathotypes of P. sorghi capable of inducing sorghum downy mildew in previously resistant sorghum inbred lines and hybrids were discovered in Texas (3,4). The pathotypes 1, 2, and 3 identified in Texas are the only reported pathotypes of P. sorghi. These pathotypes were differentiated by the sorghum lines Tx 412, CS 3541, and Tx 430. Pathotype I was virulent to Tx 412 and avirulent to CS 3541 and Tx 430. Pathotype 2 was virulent to Tx 412 and CS 3541 and avirulent to Tx 430. Pathotype 3 was virulent to each of the differentials. Compatible host/pathotype interactions were characterized by the ability of the pathogen to sporulate on leaves inoculated with conidia. Incompatible interactions were characterized by the inhibition of pathogen sporulation on inoculated leaves.

Several inbred lines of sorghum developed by the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station were tested for reaction to each of the three pathotypes of P. sorghi. Only inbred line SC 414-12 was resistant to all pathotypes.

The purpose of the research reported in this paper was to determine the mode of inheritance of resistance to P. sorghi in SC 414-12.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

This article is in the public domain and not copyrightable. It may be freely reprinted with customary crediting of the source. The American Phytopathological Society, 1985.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two sorghum inbred lines, SC 414-12 and Tx 412, were used as parental lines. The reactions of these lines to P. sorghi had been determined by tests in the field and greenhouse. The inbred SC 414-12 was resistant to pathotypes 1, 2, and 3 of P. sorghi; Tx 412 was universally susceptible. The parental lines were crossed and the F1, F2, and F3 progenies were produced in fields where sorghum downy mildew was not observed.

The populations of pathotypes 1, 2, and 3 of P. sorghi used in this study originated from diseased plants collected in field nurseries. The pathotypes were maintained in the greenhouse on susceptible sorghum hybrids infected by conidial inoculation of freshly germinated seeds (6). The greenhouse populations of the pathotypes were tested at monthly intervals with the sorghum differentials to ensure that their original virulence characteristics were retained.

Reactions of the parental lines and the F1, F2, and F3 progenies to P. sorghi were determined by inoculating seedling plants at the 1.5 leaf stage of growth. The conidial inoculum was secured from the diseased plants used to maintain the pathotypes. Infected leaves from these plants were placed above the sorghum seedlings under environmental conditions conducive to sporulation of P. sorghi. The conidia produced on these leaves were distributed over the seedlings by using controlled air currents as previously described (2). The inoculated plants were grown in the greeenhouse for 6 days after inoculation and then incubated for 17-24 hr in a moist chamber at a temperature of 20 C and RH maintained above 95% with a humidifier. The plants were removed from the moist chamber and observed macroscopically for sporulation of P. sorghi on the inoculated leaves. The plants on which sporulation occurred were classed as susceptible; plants without sporulation were classed as resistant (4).

Populations of the parental lines and the F1 and F2 generations were tested for reaction to each of the three pathotypes of P. sorghi. The genotypes present in the F2 generation were determined by the reactions of F3 families produced by selfing a random sample of F2 plants. Twenty plants of each of 136 F3 full-sib families were tested for reaction to pathotype 1. The F3 families were classified as homogeneous resistant, homogeneous susceptible, or heterogeneous. To determine the relationships among genetic factors conditioning resistance to the different pathotypes, 22 F3 families homogeneous for resistance to pathotype I and 41 F3 families heterogeneous for reaction to pathotype 1 were tested for reaction to pathotypes 2 and 3, respectively. Chi-square tests were used to

TABLE 1. Reactions of the sorghum inbreds SC 414-12 and Tx 412 and their F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> progenies to pathotypes 1, 2, and 3 of *Peronosclerospora* sorghi

		Number	of plants <sup>a</sup>	Expected	
Pedigree	Pathotype <sup>b</sup>	R	S	ratio	P-value
SC 414-12	1,2,3	20	0		
Tx 412	1,2,3	0	20		
Tx 412 × SC 414-12	1,2,3	20	0		
(Tx 412 × SC 414-12)F <sub>2</sub>	1	357	113	3:1	0.25-0.50
(Tx 412 × SC 414-12)F <sub>2</sub>	2	320	89	3:1	0.10-0.20
(Tx 412 × SC 414-12)F <sub>2</sub>	3	307	99	3:1	0.50-0.75

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>R = resistant plants, and S = susceptible plants.

test the goodness of fit of the segregation ratios observed in the  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  populations.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The  $F_1$  plants produced by crossing susceptible inbred Tx 412 and resistant inbred SC 414-12 were resistant to the three pathotypes of *P. sorghi* (Table 1). The reactions of the  $F_2$  population indicated that resistance to each pathotype of *P. sorghi* was conditioned by a single dominant genetic factor.

The use of backcross progenies to test hypothesis of  $F_2$  genotypic ratios in sorghum is impractical because of the large number of manual floral emasculations required to produce an adequate backcross population. However,  $F_3$  families produced by self-pollinated  $F_2$  plants provide the means of identifying  $F_2$  genotypes. In tests of  $F_3$  families, homogeneity of reaction in a 20-plant sample was accepted as proof that the  $F_2$  parental plant was homozygous for the genetic factor controlling reaction to P. sorghi. Assuming that resistance was monogenic and dominant, the probability of failing to detect heterogeneity with a 20-plant sample of an  $F_3$  progeny was less than 1%. The observed ratio of resistant:heterogeneous:susceptible  $F_3$  progenies was an acceptable approximation of the 1:2:1 ratio expected if resistance was conditioned by a single, dominant, genetic factor (Table 2).

None of the 63 F<sub>3</sub> families tested for reaction to each of the three pathotypes differentiated the pathotypes. If resistance to the three pathotypes was not conferred by the same gene or very closely linked genes, recombinations among loci in the F<sub>2</sub> generation should produce F<sub>3</sub> families with differential reactions to the different pathotypes. The absence of such differential genotypes in the sample taken indicated that if linked, differential loci were involved, the linkage was too close to be detected by the sample size used in this study. Tests of a sample of 63 F<sub>3</sub> families had a 98% probability of detecting linkages with crossover frequencies as low as 3%. The simplest hypothesis that fits the data is that universal resistance in SC 414-12 to the three pathotypes of *P. sorghi* is conferred by a single dominant gene.

Our study of the inheritance of resistance to *P. sorghi* differed from previous studies in the method used to identify resistant genotypes and in the concept of what constituted resistance to *P. sorghi*. Earlier studies of the inheritance of resistance to *P. sorghi* in sorghum (6,7) used disease nurseries in the field to identify resistant and susceptible genotypes. In these field tests, the plants were

TABLE 2. Reactions of .(Tx 412 × SC 414-12)F<sub>3</sub> sorghum progenies to pathotypes of *Peronosclerospora sorghi* 

		Re	acti	on <sup>a</sup>	Expected	
F <sub>3</sub> progenies	Pathotype	R	Н	S	ratio	P-values
Random sample	1	25	77	34	1:2:1	0.10-0.20
Resistant to pathotype 1	2,3	22	0	0		
Heterogeneous to pathotype 1	2,3	0	41	0		

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>a}$ R = number of F<sub>3</sub> families homogeneous for resistance, H = number of F<sub>3</sub> families heterogeneous for reaction to *P. sorghi*, and S = number of F<sub>3</sub> families homogeneous for susceptibility.

exposed to naturally occurring inoculum, and plants that did not develop the systemic phase of sorghum downy mildew (5) were assumed to be resistant. In our experience with field nurseries, we found that significant numbers of susceptible plants escape detection because of the erratic disposition of inoculum and the occurrence of environmental factors unfavorable to the development of systemic sorghum downy mildew (1). In the inheritance study reported by Puttarudrappa and co-workers (7), 25% of the plants of the susceptible parental sorghum line escaped infection in the test nursery used to determine the reactions of parental lines and progenies. Controlled conidial inoculation of plants in the greenhouse was a more efficient method of detection of resistant genotypes.

Resistance to *P. sorghi*, by our standards, was expressed as an incompatible, host-pathogen relationship in which colonization of the host tissue by the pathogen was insufficient to produce sporulation by the pathogen (4). To induce the systemic phase of sorghum downy mildew from conidial inoculation, the pathogen must progress from its initial invasion point in the outer leaves to the immature foliage tissues enclosed in the leaf whorl. Histological studies of the infection of susceptible and resistant sorghum genotypes by *P. sorghi* revealed that the inability of *P. sorghi* to grow in leaf tissues of resistant genotypes was the major component of resistance to sorghum downy mildew in the field (8).

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Balasubramanian, K. A. 1974. Role of date of seeding, soil moisture, temperature and pH in the incidence of downy mildew of sorghum. Plant Soil 41:233-241.
- Craig, J. 1976. An inoculation technique for identifying resistance to sorghum downy mildew. Plant Dis. Rep. 60:35052.
- Craig, J., and Frederiksen, R. A. 1980. Pathotypes of Peronosclerospora sorghi. Plant Dis. 64:778-779.
- Craig, J., and Frederiksen, R. A. 1983. Differential sporulation of pathotypes of *Peronosclerospora sorghi* on inoculated sorghum. Plant Dis. 67:278-279.
- Frederiksen, R. A. 1980. Sorghum downy mildew in the United States: Overview and outlook. Plant Dis. 64:903-908.
- Frederiksen, R. A., Bockholt, A. J., Clark, L. E., Casper, J. W., Craig, J., Johnson, J. W., Jones, B. L., Matocha, P., Miller F. R., Reyes, L., Rosenow, D. T., Tuleen, D., and Walker, H. J. 1973. Sorghum downy mildew, a disease of maize and sorghum. Tex. Agric. Exp. Stn. Res. Monogr. 2. 32 pp.
- Puttarudrappa, A., Kulkarni, B. G., and Kajjari, N. B. 1972. Inheritance of resistance to downy mildew (Sclerospora sorghi) in sorghum. Indian Phytopathol. 25:471-473.
- Yey, Y. and Frederiksen, R. A. 1980. Sorghum downy mildew: Biology of systemic infection by conidia and of a systemic response in sorghum. Phytopathology 70:372-376.

TABLE 5. Relative numbers of uredinia of *Puccinia recondita* produced on seedling leaves of wheat line Lr2C(W1) and its recurrent parent cultivar, Wichita

	Cultures of P. recondita				
Wheat line or cultivar	PRTUS6*	PRTUS4			
LR2C(WI)	68	90			
Wichita	100	100			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Reactions to each pathotype were tested separately.