

Maternal Influence on Response of Corn to *Fusarium moniliforme*

James N. Lunsford, M. C. Futrell, and Gene E. Scott

Graduate Assistant, Research Plant Pathologist, and Agronomist Department of Plant Pathology and Weed Science, Department of Agronomy, and USDA Agricultural Research Service, respectively, Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station, Mississippi State, Mississippi 39762.

Accepted for publication 30 August 1974.

ABSTRACT

Four inbred lines of corn were seeded in sand infested with *Fusarium moniliforme* and placed under fluorescent light banks. Stand counts showed that SC270P was the most resistant line, GEC119A was intermediate, and that SC155 and GA172 were most susceptible. Crosses were made among these four inbred lines to comprise a diallel series. The six diallel crosses, plus their reciprocals, were planted in flats of sand infested with *F. moniliforme*. Diallel analysis of emergence and stand counts from these tests showed that the

general combining ability and maternal variances were highly significant. Specific combining ability and reciprocal variances were not significant. Therefore, additive gene action and maternal effects are more important than dominant gene action in the inheritance of resistance to seedling blight caused by *F. moniliforme*. This female control could be caused by maternal tissue of the seed or cytoplasmic factors in the embryo.

Phytopathology 65:223-225

Additional key words: infested sand, single-cross hybrid.

Fusarium moniliforme causes a seedling blight (1), which reduces stands of corn in the southern United States (6). Investigations showed that a toxin (3) produced by the fungus causes symptoms on corn seedlings like those caused by the fungus (8). Inoculated seedlings of some resistant \times susceptible crosses were resistant to the fungus, but those of others were susceptible (4). These data suggested a maternal influence on the reaction of the hybrid to *F. moniliforme*.

The objectives of this study were (i) to gain information on the type of gene action conditioning resistant to *F. moniliforme*, and (ii) to determine if maternal effects influenced the reaction of single-cross hybrids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS.—Four inbred lines, and a diallel series of six single crosses plus reciprocals, were evaluated for their reaction to *F. moniliforme*, Sheld. emend. Snyder & Hans. Inbred corn lines used were SC270P, GEC119A, GA172, and SC155. Seeds were produced by hand-pollinations of all combinations of these inbred lines and the reciprocals.

F. moniliforme was isolated from maize dwarf mosaic virus-infected johnsongrass growing on the Plant Science Farm of the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station. The isolate was highly virulent in laboratory tests. The medium for growing the fungus was a mixture of 500 ml perlite, 50 ml cornmeal, and 100 ml of distilled water. This mixture was placed in 8 \times 10-cm storage dishes and autoclaved for 15 minutes at 250 C. The medium was cooled overnight before inoculation. Five petri plates of *F. moniliforme* grown in Czapek's agar for 10 days at 24 C were comminuted in a sterile blender with 200 ml of sterile distilled water. Petri dishes with the sterile perlite-cornmeal medium were inoculated with 10 ml of a spore and mycelial fragment suspension, and were placed in an incubator at 20 C for 60 days.

Inoculations of inbreds and single-cross hybrids were carried out in 23 \times 30-cm metal flats half-filled with sand. Four evenly-spaced furrows 1.5 cm deep were made in the sand with a small round piece of wood, 2 cm in diameter. The *F. moniliforme* grown on perlite-cornmeal was dislodged from the petri dishes and crumbled into small

particles. For all inbred tests, perlite-cornmeal medium with the inoculum was applied at quantities of 25 cm³, 50 cm³, and 100 cm³ per row. The 50-cm³ level of inoculum was used to inoculate the single-cross hybrids. The inoculum was evenly distributed in the furrows, and gently packed with the bottom of a small glass beaker. Ten corn seeds per row were then placed on top of the inoculum, 3-5 cm of sand were added, and the flats were placed in larger metal trays to allow watering from the bottom. Plants were grown under fluorescent lights, with 12 hours of light per day at an intensity of 43,040 lux.

A split-plot design with six replications was used in the inbred test, with whole plots as inoculum levels, and split plots as inbred lines. A randomized complete-block design was used in the single-cross test, with four replications. Measurements taken in the inbred test were the number of plants emerged 6 days after planting, and stand counts of plants 2.5 cm in height, 15 days after planting. The diallel series was analyzed by the design developed by Cockerham (2). Measurements taken in the diallel series of 12 single crosses were the number of plants emerged 6 days after planting, and final stand counts of plants 2.5 cm in height 12 days after planting. Duncan's (9) multiple range test was used to test significant differences among treatment means.

RESULTS.—Evaluation of inbred responses to various inoculum levels showed significant differences among the four inbred lines at each inoculum level (Table 1). Emergence counts after 6 days showed that inbreds SC270P and GEC119A were more resistant than SC155 and GA172. Stand counts after 15 days showed similar results, except that SC270P appeared to be more resistant than GEC119A.

Diallel analysis of single-cross emergence counts 6 days after planting showed that general combining ability (GCA) and maternal variances were highly significant. Specific combining ability and nonmaternal reciprocal variances were not significant. Three of the four most resistant single crosses had SC270P as the maternal parent (Table 2). In the inbred test, SC270P was found to be the most resistant. The four most susceptible hybrids

TABLE 1. Comparison between percentage of plants of four inbred corn lines emerged after 6 days and those at least 2.5 cm tall 15 days after planting, at three inoculum levels of *Fusarium moniliforme*

Inbred	Inoculum Levels ^y							
	Check		25 cm ³		50 cm ³		100 cm ³	
	6 days	15 days	6 days	15 days	6 days	15 days	6 days	15 days
SC270P	92 a ^r	93 a	65 a	83 a	32 a	57 a	37 a	60 a
GEC119A	82 a	92 a	47 b	48 b	32 a	40 a	27 a	35 b
SC155	93 a	93 a	12 c	18 c	8 b	10 b	7 b	5 c
GA172	92 a	97 a	28 c	35 bc	22 a	18 b	6 b	12 c

^rThe inoculum [grown 60 days in petri plates on autoclaved perlite-cornmeal-water (10:1:2, v/v) mixture] was crumbled into small particles and distributed (preplant, in flats of sand) into 30-cm rows from 25-, 50-, and 100-ml (\cong cm³) beakers.

^yMeans not followed by the same letter within a column differ significantly, $P=0.05$, as determined by Duncan's multiple range test.

TABLE 2. Comparison between percentages of plants emerged at 6 days, and those at least 2.5 cm tall 12 days, after planting of single-cross corn hybrids inoculated with *Fusarium moniliforme* at a level of 50 ml of inoculum^y

Hybrid	Six days after planting	Twelve days after planting
	Percentage emergence	Percentage of plants at least 2.5 cm in height
SC270P × SC155	57 a ^r	60 a
SC270P × GA172	52 ab	45 ab
GEC119A × SC270P	45 abc	40 abc
SC270P × GEC119A	40 abc	37 abc
GEC119A × SC155	30 bcd	30 cde
GA172 × SC270P	27 bcd	37 cde
GEC119A × GA172	22 cd	12 de
SC155 × SC270P	20 cd	17 cde
GA172 × SC155	10 d	17 cde
SC155 × GA172	7 d	10 e
SC155 × GEC119A	7 d	5 e
GA172 × GEC119A	5 d	5 e

^rThe inoculum [grown 60 days in petri plates on autoclaved perlite-cornmeal-water (10:1:2, v/v) mixture] was crumbled into small particles and distributed (preplant, in flats of sand) into 30-cm rows from 25-, 50-, and 100-ml (\cong cm³) beakers.

^yMeans not followed by the same letter within a column differ significantly, $P=0.05$, as determined by Duncan's multiple range test.

TABLE 3. Percentage of corn, inoculated with 50 ml of *Fusarium moniliforme*, at least 2.5 cm in height 12 days after planting for diallel crosses

Female parent	Male parent				Mean for female parents	Differences contributing to GCA ^r
	SC270P	GEC119A	GA172	SC155		
SC270P	...	37	45	60	47	39
GEC119A	40	...	12	30	27	21
GA172	37	5	...	17	20	21
SC155	17	5	10	...	11	23
Means for male parent	31	16	22	36		
Maternal differences ^y	+16	+11	-2	-25		

^rGCA = General Combining Ability, the average performance of all crosses involving one inbred line.

^yMaternal differences over all crosses when a line was used as the female parent, as compared to when it was used as the male parent.

had SC155 or GA172 as the maternal parent. These hybrids were shown to be the most susceptible in the inbred test. Diallel analysis of stand counts 12 days after planting were similar to those of the 6-day emergence test.

The two-way table shows that inbred SC270P contributed the most to general combining ability; thus, genetic differences existed among these hybrids, and the hybrid reactions were not caused entirely by maternal effects (Table 3). By +16 and +11 percentages of maternal differences, SC270P and GEC119A show an advantage when these inbreds are used as the female parent. Inbred GA172 does not contribute much (-2 percentage points). However, crosses with SC155 as the female parent, would be at a great advantage (-25 percentage points).

DISCUSSION.—In 1922, Eriksson (5) postulated that susceptibility to plant diseases could be maternally inherited. Most plant pathologists of that era were too busy criticizing Eriksson to peruse his theories. In 1969 and 1970, susceptibility to plant diseases was demonstrated to be maternally inherited. Male-sterile cytoplasm was shown to condition susceptibility of maize to yellow leaf blight, caused by *Phyllosticta maydis*, and southern corn blight, caused by *Bipolaris maydis* race T. Proof of this cytoplasmic control of susceptibility or maternal inheritance was easily shown because of the large differences between the resistance found in normal cytoplasm and the susceptibility in Texas male-sterile cytoplasm. This proved that the cytoplasmic factor has

more control over susceptibility to these diseases than do nuclear genes (7).

In our study, we were not concerned with male sterility nor with differences between T and N cytoplasm. We were concerned with the inconsistent results when single-cross hybrids made between resistant and susceptible inbreds were inoculated with *F. moniliforme*. Evaluation of the diallel series among the four inbreds showed that general combining ability and maternal variances were highly significant. Therefore, additive gene action and maternal effects were more important than dominant action in the inheritance of resistance to seedling blight caused by *F. moniliforme*. These data show that resistance and susceptibility are strongly influenced by the maternal parent in hybrid combinations of maize. This female control could be caused by either maternal tissue of the seed, or cytoplasmic factors in the embryo.

LITERATURE CITED

1. BRANSTETTER, B. B. 1927. Corn root rot studies. Mo. Agric. Exp. Stn. Res. Bull. 113.
2. COCKERHAM, C. C. 1964. Estimation of genetic variances. Pages 53-94 in W. D. Hanson and H. F. Robinson, eds. Statistical genetics and plant breeding. Nat. Acad. Sci., Nat. Res. Council. Pub. 982.
3. COLE, R. J., J. W. KIRKSEY, H. G. CUTLER, B. L. DOUPNIK, and J. C. PECKHAM. 1973. Toxin from *Fusarium moniliforme*: Effects on plants and animals. Science 179:1324-1326.
4. DJAKAMIHARDTA, S., G. E. SCOTT, and M. C. FUTRELL. 1970. Seedling reaction of inbreds and single crosses of maize to *Fusarium moniliforme*. Plant Dis. Rep. 54:307-310.
5. ERIKSSON, J. 1922. La theorie du mycoplasmas. Sa portee scientifique et sa perspective pratique. Bull. Renseign. Agric. Malad. Plantes 13:283-294.
6. FUTRELL, M. C., and M. KILGORE. 1969. Poor stands of corn and reduction of root growth caused by *Fusarium moniliforme*. Plant Dis. Rep. 53:213-215.
7. FUTRELL, M. C., and N. Z. NAQVI. 1974. Corn breeding and the association of male sterility with infection by *Helminthosporium maydis* and possible action of *Fusarium moniliforme*. Pages 281-289 in F. G. Maxwell, ed. Biological control of plant insects and diseases. University and College Press of Mississippi, Jackson.
8. SCOTT, G. E., and M. C. FUTRELL. 1970. Response of maize seedlings to *Fusarium moniliforme* and a toxic material extracted from this fungus. Plant Dis. Rep. 54:483-486.
9. STEEL, R. G. D., and J. H. TORRIE. 1960. Pages 107-109 in procedures of statistics. McGraw-Hill New York.