For the Record

The RFLP Molecular Marker Closely Linked to the Supernodulation Locus of Soybean Contains Three Inserts
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The pA-132 probe, linked to the supernodulation (nts) locus of soybean, was generated as a random genomic PstI insert. The insert, however, was not unique, and three PstI fragments were detected in the probe. To clarify whether the three fragments were contiguous, we analyzed a genomic lambda clone as well as the segregation of the three inserts in an F2 population.
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We are attempting to use marker-based analysis to define the molecular region on the soybean genome that governs autoregulation of nodulation (Gresshoff 1993). A mutational inactivation of the nts locus results in abundant nodulation, termed supernodulation (Carroll et al. 1985a,b). While a number of supernodulation mutants have been found in soybean and a number of other legumes, there is no information concerning the gene product and the direct biochemical function of the gene or its mutated forms. Using the soybean restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) map generated by the U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service in collaboration with Iowa State University (Keim et al. 1990), an RFLP marker was placed closely to the nts locus. F2 populations from a cross between mutant nts382 and the ancestral soybean Glycine soja demonstrated less than 1% recombination (Landau-Ellis et al. 1991; Landau-Ellis and Gresshoff 1992). The probe used in the 1991 study was pUTG-132a, a subclone derived from mapped clone pA-132. Preliminary analysis suggested that pA-132 consisted of three PstI inserts instead of the expected single insert. The largest one, pUTG-132a, was used in mapping studies of the Knoxville soybean populations, while the entire insert (as a polymerase chain reaction product) was used in the construction of the USDA-ARS map (Keim et al. 1990). The question arose whether the other two PstI inserts in pA-132 were contiguous with pUTG-132a or whether they represented separate fragments, coincidently cloned into the vector. Two approaches were used to arrive at the answer that they are separate fragments from unlinked regions of the genome: molecular analysis of a 14-kb lambda genomic clone homologous in part with pUTG-132a (Kolchinsky et al., unpublished), and segregation analysis of the polymorphisms generated by the other two fragments.

The plant material used for crossing in this study was diverse in order to detect segregation among the offspring. The G. max (cv. Bragg) line nts1007, a supernodulating mutant homozygous for the nts locus and allelic to nts382, was used as the female parent. For the male parent, we used the ancestral soybean G. soja PI468.397, which exhibited wild-type nodulation. The 57 F2 progeny from this cross segregated with 15 supernodulating plants and 42 plants with wild-type nodulation. Only the 15 supernodulating plants were used for this RFLP analysis.

The original probe inserts contributing to the Iowa State–USDA-ARS linkage map were generated by digesting genomic soybean DNA (G. max) with the PstI restriction endonuclease and cloning the 0.5- to 3-kb fragments into the vector PBS+ (Stratagene Inc., La Jolla, CA). When purifying pA-132 for labeling, we found that digestion of this plasmid clone with PstI resulted in the vector fragment plus three inserts, unlike most of the other clones in this library, which contain only one insert. Subclones were made from these three fragments. The subclone pUTG-132a contains the largest insert (1.8 kb). Clone pUTG-132bc contained two inserts, namely b (1.2 kb) and c (0.7 kb), and pUTG-132c contains only the smallest fragment (0.7 kb).

DNA was isolated from these plasmid clones as previously described (Landau-Ellis and Gresshoff 1992). After restriction of plasmid DNA with PstI (according to specifications of the manufacturer, New England Biolabs), insert fragments were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, visualized by ethidium bromide, cut out of the gel by scalpel, and purified by electroelution into dialysis tubing. The lambda clone λUTG-132a was isolated from the soybean cultivar Bragg genomic library in the vector lambda GEMII (Kolchinsky et al., unpublished).

Southern hybridization was performed as described earlier (Landau-Ellis and Gresshoff 1992). The analysis of total DNA genomic blots with probe pA-132 was complicated, with a pattern showing numerous bands. This indicated that the probe detected many genomic regions (i.e., it contained
repeated DNA). As such, the probe would have been of lesser utility in a positional cloning approach (Gresshoff 1993). However, during probe preparation it was noted that the pA-132 clone contained three PstI inserts. Isolation of the largest one (pUTG-132a) gave a simple RFLP pattern. A polymorphism between nts382 and G. soja gave close linkage in F₂ segregation analysis. Since nts1007 is allelic to nts382 (Delves et al. 1988), and both alleles map closely to the same RFLP marker (Landau-Ellis and Gresshoff 1992), we analyzed the cosegregation of the three insert clones in phenotypically selected supernodulating plants derived from an nts1007 × G. soja (wild-type) cross.

This separate isolation of the three pA-132 fragments before hybridization produced more legible and scorable autoradiographs. When the three individual inserts were labeled with ³²P and hybridized onto genomic blots of F₂ DNA from 15 supernodulating segregants of the A3 population (G. max nts1007 × G. soja PI468.397), we observed independent segregation of the three fragments; in contrast, pUTG-132a is tightly linked to the nts locus. The other two markers (b and c) were found to segregate independently of the nts locus as well as each other. The probe pA-36, a flanking marker 10 cM from pA-132 on linkage group H, also segregates independently of the pA-132 b and c fragments.

To confirm this genetic evidence, we utilized the 14-kb lambda clone, λUTG-132a, which contains the complete pUTG-132a segment of DNA along with flanking regions from a cv. Bragg genomic library. When the three pA-132 fragments were probed with λUTG-132a, only the 1.8-kb fragment gave positive hybridization, indicating that these three fragments from the plasmid clone pA-132 are not contiguous and must originate from different parts of the genome.

Despite the obvious cloning artifact, the original map position was determined on the basis of just a small region of the RFLP pattern. The probe used in Iowa for map construction was generated by PCR amplification with plasmid-borne external primers. While this approach is fast and useful, it does not permit the detection of multiple inserts. We determined that the three inserts were not contiguous in the soybean genome and that their presence in pA-132 is a cloning artifact by both genetic and molecular means.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Joanna Deckert for the lambda clone. The CSRS Project No. TEN00137 and Tennessee Soybean Promotion Board are thanked for support.

LITERATURE CITED


Information for Contributors, 1994

Editorial Policy
MOLECULAR PLANT-MICROBE INTERACTIONS (MPMI) publishes significant research on the molecular genetics and molecular biology of pathological, symbiotic, and associative interactions of microbes and plants. For the purposes of this journal, the term microbe encompasses viruses, prokaryotes, fungi, and also nematodes and viroids. The term molecular biology includes studies on biochemical or biophysical mechanisms. Molecular analysis of the microbe alone or the plant alone may be the subject of an MPMI paper, providing sufficient evidence is available identifying the characteristics under study as being among those that affect or modulate plant-microbe interactions. The main thrust of an MPMI paper also may be traditional genetics or other nonmolecular research if such research identifies a molecule as an essential factor in a microbe-plant interaction. MPMI will consider methodological research papers if they report important new advances in technology for studying the molecular aspects of plant-microbe interactions.

Although most papers report original, in-depth research, papers may be submitted in other sections. Current Reviews are short reviews that focus on some rapidly developing area of the molecular aspects of plant-microbe interactions. The nonreferenced Commentary section may be used to present opinions, conclusions, or theories in the field of molecular plant pathology. The Note format is intended for presentation of brief observations that do not warrant full-length papers. Notes should contain firm data and should not be considered preliminary observations. Notes should be submitted in the same way as papers. Each Note should have an abstract of no more than 50 words. Do not use subheadings in the body of the note. Materials and methods should be described in the text. The text should not exceed 1,000 words; the number of tables and figures should be kept to a minimum. The literature citation section should be similar to full-length papers. For the Record papers will be refereed and should consist primarily of molecular data useful for typing pathogens or for constructing physical-genetic maps of pathogens or host plants. A DNA sequence of a gene of unknown function or the DNA sequence of a gene that was previously sequenced in another species would be suitable for this section. For the Record papers will generally be one to four printed pages long. (The editorial board has established this section of the journal because many laboratories are producing molecular data that are very useful to the plant-microbe interaction community but that do not warrant a full paper or research note.)

Submitted manuscripts should report fundamental rather than applied research and should be directed at understanding the molecular mechanisms of plant-microbe interactions rather than merely describing such interactions. Research to be published in MPMI must be pioneering and should not report results that already have been obtained in related systems.

A manuscript submitted to MPMI must not be under review and may not be submitted for review by another publication, even in part, while under consideration for publication in MPMI. MPMI will not publish a paper that contains data that have been or will be published elsewhere. If a paper submitted to MPMI is closely related to papers under consideration or accepted elsewhere, a copy of each related paper must accompany each copy of the manuscript submitted to MPMI.

Papers are accepted on the condition that recombinant plasmids and bacteriophages, microbe strains, and plant variants developed in the course of the research will be available for distribution to all qualified members of the scientific community, either directly from the investigator(s) or by deposit in national or international collections.

The management of the review of each manuscript and the final decision with regard to acceptance reside with the Senior Editors, who are identified by area of review on the Editorial Board page. The areas of review are prokaryote pathogenesis of plants, prokaryote symbiosis and associative interactions with plants, fungus-plant interactions, virus- and viroid-plant interactions, and the host response to microorganisms. Most manuscripts will be reviewed by two Associate Editors and/or ad hoc referees. However, a Senior Editor may return, without further review, any manuscript that does not conform to the criteria for publication in MPMI.

Title of the Submitted Manuscript
The language of MPMI is English. Manuscripts should be written concisely and submitted in typed form, double-spaced throughout, on white paper about 220 x 280 mm (8.5 x 11 inches). The first author's surname, page number, and the abbreviation MPMI should appear on the upper right corner of each page.

The sections of a research manuscript are, in order: title of article, authors' names, organization where the research was done (department, institution, city, postal code, country), abstract, introduction, results, discussion (or combined results and discussion), materials and methods, acknowledgments, literature cited, tables, figure legends, figures. A Current Review will have a few sections, each with a heading that describes the subject matter; headings for materials and methods, results, and discussion will be omitted. An introduction is optional in a Current Review. Footnotes are to be avoided except to report information about the authors (e.g., new address or institution).

The title should concisely indicate the important aspects of the article but should not include abbreviations. Do not use both common and scientific names for organisms in the title. Try to limit the title to 180 characters, including spaces.

Authors' names and institution should immediately follow the title on the first page. Academic and professional degrees and titles should not be included.

Begin the required, one-paragraph Abstract on the second page. The Abstract, in English, should not exceed 200 words. Authors may provide one translation of the Abstract, in French, German, or Spanish. Do not include authorities or scientific names of organisms cited in the Abstract. Any reference cited in the Abstract should be completely defined (authors, journal, volume, pages, year). Below the Abstract, after typing the phrase Additional keywords, list in alphabetic order up to six keywords or keyword phrases that characterize the scope of the paper, the principal organisms studied (scientific and generic names only), and the main subjects of the work. These words and phrases should not be derived from the title or abstract. Indexes will be prepared from the title and from the keywords and keyword phrases.

Begin the Introduction at the top of the third page. The Introduction to a research paper should be concise, should define the scope of the work in relation to other recent work, and should not exhaustively review the literature.

The Results section should guide the reader logically through the experiments and results derived from them. The text is to refer to, but not be redundant with, tables and figures. All but the most minor interpretations and connections to other work should be confined to the Discussion.

The Results and Discussion sections may, however, be combined.

The Materials and Methods section should describe the materials, techniques, and methods concisely but in sufficient detail to permit, in conjunction with cited published procedures, replication of the experiments. Subheadings may be used but should not excessively fragment the text.

The Acknowledgments section should first cite assistance from individuals and then assistance from institutions.

Literature citations
Cite references in the text by name and year, enclosing both or only the year in parentheses according to the context. If the cited paper has three or more authors, citation is by the first author and et al. Only references generally available through libraries should be listed in the Literature Cited section. Ph.D. theses should be cited in literature cited by identifying it as such and giving the name of the institution where it was completed. If work cited is in preparation, submitted but not accepted for publication, or not readily available in libraries, cite the work parenthetically only in the text, possibly giving some indication of institutional affiliation, e.g., (J. Jones, unpublished) or (J. Jones, XYZ University, personal communication). Obtain written permission from the person(s) cited before the source of any unpublished information; this written approval must be provided when the manuscript is submitted. Avoid excessive ref-
erence to unpublished information as such data cannot be evaluated by reviewers or readers.

In the Literature Cited section, list references in alphabetical order by authors’ surnames. Works that otherwise would be identically cited in the text are assigned letters according to their order in the references, e.g., 1988a, 1988b, etc.

Give complete titles of cited works in the Literature Cited section. List total pages of bulletins. Refer to the BIOSIS List of Serials with Title Abbreviations or the Chemical Abstracts Service Source Index for accepted abbreviations of journal names. Do not abbreviate one-word titles of journals. References to articles in books should include the authors’ names, year of publication, title of chapter or article, title of book, editors, 1991, editors, editorship of the first, publisher, city of publication, and inclusive pagination of the cited chapter or article. Authors are urged to double-check the accuracy of references and reference citations as such checking is not requested of reviewers, editors, or the editorial staff of MPMI.

**Editorial Style**

It is important for uniformity of presentation that authors follow the editorial style of MPMI. The editors reserve the right to make minor modifications to manuscripts that do not conform to accepted standards; such modifications will always be included in the proof that is sent to the corresponding author.

Preferred spellings are those of Webster’s Tenth New Collegiate Dictionary. Useful guides to style are the ASM Style Manual for Journals and Books (American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC, 1991), The ACS Style Guide (American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1986), and the CBE Style Manual (Council of Biology Editors, Bethesda, MD, 1983).

Units of measurement are as defined by the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA. Use numerals before standard units of measurement; e.g., 1 g, 2 ml. Otherwise use words for numbers one through nine and numerals for larger numbers. Nonstandard abbreviations should be used sparingly. Use nonstandard abbreviations in the Abstract only if it is necessary to refer to the abbreviated term several times. At first use in the Abstract and at first use in the text, spell out the term and place its nonstandard abbreviation in parentheses immediately thereafter.

Use the enzyme names recommended in the latest issue of Enzyme Nomenclature: Recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee of the International Union of Biochemistry. The Nomenclature and Classification of Enzymes (Academic Press, New York). Give the number (classification) of the enzyme at its first use. (See also the latest edition of Handbook of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The Chemical Rubber Co., Cleveland, OH.)

Underline or otherwise indicate Latin binomials of organisms to be set in italic type. No authorities are needed for bacteria or Rhizobia. For fungi and plants, on first use of primary organisms discussed above should be listed. Nomenclature for bacteria should follow Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Pathovar names should be presented as outlined by Dye et al. in “International standards for naming pathovars of phytopathogenic bacteria and a list of pathovar names and pathotype strains” (Rev. Plant Pathol. 59:153-168, 1980), except when superseded by the International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology. Designate strains, where applicable. For fungal nomenclature, follow Yoder et al. (Phytopathology 76:383-385, 1986). Also see Fungi on Plants and Plant Products in the United States (Farr et al., APS Press, 1989) and A Guide to the Use of Terms in Plant Pathology, Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, England (Phytopathological Papers, No. 17, 1973). Do not Latinize virus names.

Indicate the source of cultures. Include designation of cultures obtained from or deposited in recognized collections. Authors are encouraged to deposit voucher cultures and specimens documenting their research at recognized institutions and to cite the place of deposit in the text.


Use the term cultivar for recognized agronomic and horticultural plant varieties and lines for other variants of a species. Identify the source of cultivars and include CI and PI numbers when appropriate. Enclose the name of a cultivar in single quotation marks only when it immediately follows the botanical name.

Names of unusual proprietary materials and special apparatus should be followed by the manufacturer’s name and city in parentheses. List bacteriocides and fungicides by their approved common or generic names. Use the chemical name if a common name is not available.

**Tables**

Each table and figure, with its footnotes and legend, respectively, should be self-explanatory to a well-informed reader, without reference to the text but possibly with reference to other tables or figures. Tables and figures should be numbered serially with Arabic numbers, according to their order of citation in the text. If only a few values are to be presented, they should be in the text, rather than in a table or figure. Data in tables or figures should not be repeated in the text.

The title of a table should summarize the information in the table without repeating any subheading from the table. Subheadings should be brief. Abbreviations are acceptable, but each nonstandard abbreviation should be explained in a footnote or caption of the first figure in which it is used, even if previously defined in the text.

Each table should be typed with three lines extending the full width of the table, one just under the title and one each immediately above and immediately below the data entries. Footnotes are designated with superscript lowercase letters. Do not use ditto marks.

**Figures**

All graphs and line drawings should be submitted as original artwork or as crisp black-and-white reproductions (PMTs or photostats). Computer-generated, laser-printed materials will be accepted if they have smooth, reproducible lines.

The final sizes of figures in MPMI are 8.3 cm wide for one-column and 17.4 cm wide for two-column figures. The maximum height of figures is 23.5 cm, including captions and headings. Numbers and lettering (use upper- and lowercase) should be about 3.5 mm high (e.g., 10 point Helvetica or other sans serif type). Panels in figures should be labeled A, B, C, etc. and should be 6 mm high (e.g., 18 point). All figures should be prepared to these measurements.

If line drawings or graphs are to be published as a composite figure, the parts of the composite should be mounted on cardboard in appropriate positions when the manuscript is submitted.

Complex formulas, metabolic and genetic schemes, and similar illustrative material should be in almost all cases be presented as figures. Nucleotide and amino acid sequences are to be submitted as figures. The lettering for sequences should be no less than 3 mm high, with no more than 100 characters per line; a figure presenting a sequence should be no more than a single printed page in the journal. Authors may wish supply an extra copy of the sequence on their diskette file so that it may be reformatted at the editorial office. Sequences should also be submitted to GenBank; guidelines will be supplied.

For graphs, affix index (tack) marks to ordinates and absicssae.

Photographs should be submitted as clear, high-quality prints. Photographs of poor quality will not be accepted. Composite prints and prints with extraneous labeling should be mounted on cardboard. Sizes of figures and labeling should be as shown above. Prints should be cropped at right angles to show only essential details. Insert a scale bar where necessary to indicate magnification. For composite figures, match photographs for similarity of contrast, background density, and subject content.

Figure captions should describe the contents of figures so that they are understandable when considered apart from the text.

Color illustrations may be used if approved by the Senior Editor and will be at the expense of the author. The cost of color illustrations is $750 for the first figure, $500 for the second figure, and $250 for subsequent figures. The author or an institutional officer must provide written acceptance of the quoted cost before color illustrations will be processed.

MPMI invites photographs and illustrations from or related to accepted articles for the issue’s cover. Authors may suggest an appropriate illustration or diagram to the Senior Editor; the source and a brief explanation of the cover picture will be printed on the contents page.

Authors must obtain the necessary permission for reproduction of material from a copyrighted publication from the author(s) and publisher
What to Submit

For Review

Authors must submit three copies of a manuscript plus one set of original figures. Each of the copies of the manuscript must include the complete text and tables and a copy of each figure in a form suitable for review (e.g., prints rather than photocopies of photographs; photocopies of line drawings, graphs, etc.). One of the three copies of the manuscript should be sent to the appropriate Senior Editor, whose name and address appear on the Editorial Board page of MPMI. Current Reviews and Commentary should be submitted to the Editor-in-Chief. Submit a copy of a manuscript that reports research on molecular aspects of nematode-plant interactions or on host response to microorganisms to the Editor-in-Chief.

Send the other two copies of the manuscript to the MPMI Editorial Office with the set of original figures. These original figures will be critiqued for acceptability at the review stage and subsequently will be used for final publication. Therefore, figures should be prepared as described elsewhere in these instructions. Photographs in this set of figures must be direct prints of the original negatives. Photographs requiring labeling should be also submitted as direct prints upon which the lettering and symbols have been directly applied—do not rephotograph. To protect these photographs and composites, attach a protective covering. The address of the MPMI Editorial Office is: MPMI, 3340 Pilot Knob Rd., St. Paul, MN 55121-2097 U.S.A.

Authors are to identify the corresponding author in a cover letter to the Senior Editor, with a copy to the MPMI Editorial Office. The cover letter must state that the manuscript has been approved by all authors. Authors also must supply a telephone, telex, Bitnet, and/or facsimile number at which they can be contacted during the review process.

The expectation is that manuscripts submitted from the United States or Canada will be reviewed for a decision on acceptance, revision, or rejection within one month, overseas manuscripts in six to seven weeks. A paper will be published two to three months after acceptance. The dates of receipt and acceptance will appear under the authors’ names on the first page of the printed paper.

If the authors fail to return the revised manuscript to the Senior Editor within three weeks of the time that the manuscript was mailed by the Senior Editor, the printed paper will bear between the dates of receipt and acceptance, a date of revision, which will be the date that the Senior Editor received the revised manuscript.

For Final Acceptance and Publication

Accepted manuscripts being returned for final processing should be submitted for publication on IBM, IBM-compatible, or Apple/Macintosh personal computer diskette. To submit, include a letter-quality printout of the manuscript and a diskette containing the corresponding final file, including text, figure captions, and tables. The diskette may be either a 3 1/2-inch or 5 1/4-inch and will be returned with author proofs.

The file containing the article MUST be saved either in Microsoft Word (preferred) or WordPerfect or, if written with other word processing software, in ASCII format instead of in the program’s normal format. If you are not submitting in Microsoft Word or WordPerfect, your software manual should have instructions for saving documents as ASCII files (sometimes also called DOS files or printer files).

When saving the file for submission, prepare the manuscript as for review, omitting any line numbering, if originally used. Label the diskette with the document’s complete file name, including any extension. Also, indicate the format as either IBM or Apple/Macintosh and Microsoft Word, WordPerfect, or ASCII. No other preparation is required.

If the article was prepared on another type of computer or if you have any questions, please contact the Editorial Office (612/454-7250) for additional information on file transfer.

Manuscripts accepted for publication in MPMI will be charged a $100 processing fee. No page charges will apply for papers of up to six published pages. However, a $100 charge will apply to each page, or fraction thereof, thereafter.

Manuscripts not accompanied by a diskette must be submitted as an original-generation typescript (not photocopy) on white paper. However, a $50 per article surcharge will be assessed these manuscripts to cover costs of copy input.

Send the revised copy of the manuscript and diskette to the Senior Editor. Send the Senior Editor an explanation of changes, and return any annotated version of the originally submitted manuscript.

Authors are responsible for final proofreading and should make only corrections and essential changes to proofs.

Reprints may be ordered; a price sheet will be provided with proofs.